

# **Transmission of Genetic Variation from the Adult Generation to Naturally Established Seedlings of** *Fagus orientalis* **in the Hyrcanian Forest**

Hamed Yousefzadeh<sup>1\*</sup>, Malek Nasiri<sup>2</sup>, Narjes Amirchakhmaghi<sup>1</sup>, Seyedeh Alemeh Sabbagh<sup>3</sup>, Łukasz Walas<sup>4</sup> and Gregor Kozlowski<sup>5,6,7</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Forestry, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran

<sup>2</sup> Department of Forestry, Faculty of Natural Resources, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran

<sup>3</sup> Department of Environmental Science, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran

4 Institute of Dendrology, Polish Academy of Sciences, Parkowa 5, 62-035 Kórnik, Poland

<sup>5</sup> Department of Biology and Botanic Garden, University of Fribourg, Chemin du Musée 10, CH-1700 Fribourg, Switzerland

<sup>6</sup> Eastern China Conservation Center for Wild Endangered Plant Resources, Shanghai Chenshan Botanical Garden, Chenhua Road No.3888, Songjiang, Shanghai 201602, China

<sup>7</sup> Natural History Museum Fribourg, Chemin du Musée 6, CH-1700 Fribourg, Switzerland



© 2024 University of Mazandaran

*Please cite this paper as:* Yousefzadeh, H., Nasiri, M., Amirchakhmaghi, N., Sabbagh, S. A., Walas, Ł., Kozlowski, G. (2024). Transmission of genetic variation from the adult generation to naturally established seedlings of *Fagus orientalis* in the Hyrcanian forest. *Journal of Genetic Resources,* 10(2), 152-163. doi: *[10.22080/jgr.2024.26853.1387](https://doi.org/10.22080/jgr.2024.26853.1387)*

#### **Introduction**

The gene pool of each forest species is influenced by environmental changes and their consequences, such as range shifts during the last glaciations, pollution, pest attacks, and recent anthropic activities, such as habitat fragmentation and illegal logging (Salehi

Shanjani *et al*., 2010; Gougherty *et al*., 2021; Kijowska-Oberc *et al*., 2020). These factors affect gene flow, genetic drift, the mating system, selection, and hence, genetic structure (Finkeldey and Ziehe, 2004; Rajora and Mosseler, 2001; Souza *et al*., 2017; Vekemans and Hardy, 2004). The negative impact of environmental disturbance can include

population declines and even disappearance. High-level genetic diversity guarantees high adaptability to the changing environment, which makes a species less susceptible to extinction (Kramer *et al*., 2010). The ability of forest tree species to withstand biotic and abiotic stresses is dependent on their adaptability, and conservation strategies should be focused on evolutionary information with special attention to withinspecies genetic diversity (Geburek, 1997; Salehi Shanjani *et al*., 2010). These strategies should ensure the survival of genetic resources for present and future generations (Nonić and Šijačić-Nikolić, 2021; Šijačić-Nikolić *et al*., 2014), which is crucial for maintaining forest sustainability and ecosystem stability (Fageria and Rajora, 2014).

The Hyrcanian forest is a green belt composed of broadleaf and conifer mixed forest, which extends along the southern coasts of the Caspian Sea and covers the northern slopes of the Alborz Mountains. This region, which stretches from sea level to an altitude of 2,800 m, has a total area of 1.85 million ha and comprises 15% of the total Iranian forest (Talebi *et al*., 2014; Shafiei *et al*., 2010; Vajari *et al*., 2012). Among the 80 native woody species in these forests, the Oriental beech (*Fagus orientalis* Lipsky) has the highest ecological and economic value (Pourmajidian *et al*., 2009). This species can achieve up to one meter diameter at breast height and 50 m total height (Talebi *et al*., 2014). It accounts for 30% of the standing volume and 23.6% of the stem number in the Hyrcanian forests in Iran (Rasaneh *et al*., 2001). This widespread tree distributes at an altitude from 600 to 2,000 m above sea level with optimum altitudes from 900 to 1,600 meters above sea level (Sagheb‐Talebi and Schütz, 2002). More than 85% of Iranian beech forests are 100 years old, and natural regeneration is seldom achieved in some areas (Salehi Shanjani *et al*., 2010). However, the area of Oriental beech forests in most regions has continuously declined due to human influence, and these forests are comprised of even-aged stands. Beech forests are managed under a shelterwood silvicultural system with unsuitable harvesting methods and without effective protection, which may lead to their further decline (Hosseini *et al*., 2000).

Different kinds of regeneration methods, silvicultural management systems (Hosius *et al*., 2006; Piotti *et al*., 2012), and stand characteristics, such as stand size and tree density (Eckert *et al*., 2010), have a substantial influence on the rate of gene transmission from the parent to the progeny generation in forest stands (Vranckx *et al*., 2014). In the old-growth Oriental beech (Fagus orientalis Lipsky) stands the various causes of gap creation, such as killed trees during the disease, breaking trees during high wind disturbance, and forest management practices altering the post-disturbance structure (Sefidi *et al*., 2011). Forest harvesting and management practices can affect genetic diversity and population structure by affecting demography and several evolutionary processes (Nasiri *et al*., 2023). As reported in studies conducted on stands managed under shelterwood systems, increasing the removal of adult individuals decreases the effective population size of the parental population and tree density. These changes ultimately lead to the reduction of genetic diversity through genetic drift (Finkeldey and Ziehe, 2004) and changes in pollen and seed dispersal patterns (Sork *et al*., 2002). The latter can increase inbreeding in the next generation induced by mating among closely related individuals (Breed *et al*., 2015; El-Kassaby *et al*., 2003; Nasiri *et al*., 2023).

Only a limited number of studies are available on the genetic diversity and structure of *Fagus orientalis* (*e.g*., Bijarpasi *et al*., 2020). However, this species is closely related to European beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.), which has been intensively investigated. Previous works confirmed the influence of forest management systems (Paffetti *et al*., 2012), habitat fragmentation (Piotti *et al*., 2012), selection and mating systems (Cuguen *et al*., 1988), and isolation of populations during the last glacial period (Kempf and Konnert, 2016) on the genetic diversity and structure of *Fagus sylvatica*. Genetic investigations have indicated the high ratio of intra- vs. interpopulation variability in beech stands (Bresson *et al*., 2011; Hajek *et al*., 2016). Sufficient variability in the intraspecific genetic resources of forest tree species is crucial to cope with current climate change through microevolutionary processes (Alberto *et al*., 2013; Aranda *et al*., 2015). Genetic differentiation and genetic isolation of Oriental beech due to phenological differences are favorable for the survival of this climax species in temporally and spatially heterogeneous environmental conditions. The present study's objective was to better understand the processes that change genetic diversity across generations in forest stands under different anthropogenic influences. More specifically, this research (1) examined how much genetic diversity is preserved in beech stands between adults and regeneration and (2) measured inbreeding and Within-stand gene flow.

### **Materials and Methods**

### **Study species, sites, and sampling**

For this study, four sites in the Hyrcanian forest were chosen along an east-to-west longitudinal gradient in 2018 (Table 1). At each site, 30 mature trees and 30 seedlings under the selected tree canopy were chosen. In *Fagus*, pollen is dispersed by wind over comparatively great distances; within the population, pollen dispersal distances are between 80 and 184 meters. (Piotti *et al*., 2012). Thus, within each group, the average distance between sampled individuals was at least 50 meters in order to prevent sampling of related family members. Before extracting DNA, leaf samples were taken from each sample (20-30 trees), put into plastic bags with silica gel, and kept at -80°C.

### **DNA extraction and SSR amplification**

DNA was extracted following the methods of Murray and Thompson (1980), with some modifications (Janfaza *et al*., 2017). In total, 240 samples were amplified by 14 SSR markers for genotyping, which are listed in Table 2. Out of the 14 SSR markers, three primers for three SSRs did not amplify any DNA (sfc1143, sfc0289-1 and Fs1-25), and one, sfc0109, produced nonspecific bands. These regions were excluded from further analysis. PCR was conducted based on the methods of Asuka *et al*. (2004) and Pastorelli *et al*. (2003) in a total volume of 10 µl, including 10 ng of DNA template, 0.2 mM dNTPs, 1X PCR buffer, 0.2 mM of each primer, 1 U of Tag DNA polymerase, and 3 mM MgCl2 for each primer (Table 2). PCR products were resolved on an 8% polyacrylamide gel and stained with silver nitrate following the protocol of Merril *et al*. (1981) modified by *Bassam et al*. (1991) to increase sensitivity and reduce the nonspecific background of simple sequence repeats (SSRs). The amplified products' fragment size (SSR variations) was ascertained using the Gel Pro analyzer package 3.9 (Gene, USA). As a size standard, a Gene rulerTM 100 bp plus DNA ladder (Fermentas Company) was employed.

**Table 1**. *Fagus orientalis* populations sampled in the Hyrcanian forest, their geographical coordinates and other characteristics.

| <b>Population</b> | Type     | Code      | SSe | Lon                 | Lat                                      | MА    | TP   | <b>MAT</b> |
|-------------------|----------|-----------|-----|---------------------|--|-------|------|------------|
| Salem             | Mature   | AT        | 24  | 48°45'32"           | 37°39′04″                                | 1510  | 1755 |            |
|                   | Seedling | AR        | 24  | 48°45'57"           | 37°38'42"                                | 1545  |      |            |
| Veysar            | Mature   | VT        | 47  | 51°32′27″           | 36°28′25″                                | 11400 | 1280 | 16         |
|                   | Seedling | <b>VR</b> | 47  | 51°32′20″           | 36°28′22″                                | 1430  |      |            |
| Sangedeh          | Mature   | <b>ST</b> | 22  | 53°14′02″           | $36^{\circ}02^{\prime}20^{\prime\prime}$ | 1470  | 1200 | 12         |
|                   | Seedling | <b>SR</b> | 22  | 53°14′09″           | $36^{\circ}02^{\prime}24^{\prime\prime}$ | 1480  |      |            |
| Neka              | Mature   | NT        | 24  | $53^{\circ}24'05''$ | $36^{\circ}17'33''$                      | 900   | 618  | 15         |
|                   | Seedling | NR        | 24  | 53°24′06″           | $36^{\circ}17'18''$                      | 990   |      |            |

SS= Sample size, Lon= Longitude, Lat= Latitude, MA= Mean altitude (m), TP= Total precipitation (mm), MAT= Mean annual temperate (°C)

### **Genetic diversity**

The average number of alleles (A), effective number of alleles (Ae), number of private alleles (Ap), expected heterozygosity (Hexp), and observed heterozygosity (Hobs) were all determined using the GENEALEX 6.501 program (Peakall and Smouse, 2006). Using FREENA software, the null allele frequencies for each locus and population were evaluated,

along with the global and pairwise FST (with and without ENA corrections), using the Chapuis and Estoup 2007 method.

The significance of a deviation from Hardy– Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) was determined using the *hw.test* function from the package "pegas" in the R environment (Paradis, 2010). The inbreeding coefficient (FIS) was calculated using a Bayesian approach implemented in INEST software (Chybicki and Burczyk, 2009). This estimation was conducted with 10,000 burn-ins and 500,000 MCMC cycles every 50th update. The Deviance Information Criterion (*DIC*) was used to compare the random mating model ('NB') with the full model ('NFB') to

assess whether the heterozygosity level was influenced by inbreeding or by the presence of null alleles. Measures of differentiation for loci and between subpopulations were calculated using the "mmod" package in R (Winter 2012).





AT= Annealing temperature, SR= Size range.

Current gene flow between stands was estimated using BAYESASS 3.0 (BA3) software (Wilson and Rannala, 2003), whereas historical migration and mutation-scaled effective population sizes  $(\theta)$  among the populations were estimated using MIGRATE-N v3.6 software (Beerli and Felsenstein, 1999; Beerli and Palczewski, 2010). Analysis was conducted with 10,000 recorded steps, 1,000,000 sampled parameter values, and a burn-in of 100 steps. A static heating scheme with 4 temperatures was used (chains set at 1,  $1.5$ , 3, and  $10<sup>5</sup>$ ).

#### **Genetic structure**

Structure analysis (Pritchard *et al*., 2000) was used to estimate genetic clusters using the Bayesian approach, whereas DAPC (discriminant analysis of principal components) was used to estimate genetic groups by the non-

Bayesian method (Jombart 2008). The Structure procedure included an admixture model, a 100,000 burn-in, 1,000,000 MCMC replications, and ten independent runs for each K with the maximum number of Clusters  $K = 9$ . The best K value was chosen using Evanno's delta K method from CLUMPAK software (Kopelman *et al*., 2015). An optimal number of clusters for DAPC analysis was calculated using the *FIND.CLUSTER* function in the *adegenet*  package in the R environment (Jombart 2008). The *dapc* function was used to perform the analysis. AMOVA (analysis of molecular variance) was performed using GENEALEX software (Peakall and Smouse, 2006). Principal component analysis (PCOA) was performed to determine Nei's genetic distance using the function *dudi.pca* in package *ade4* in the R environment (Dray and Siberchicot, 2020).

### **Results**

#### **Genetic diversity**

In total, 310 alleles were observed at ten nuclear microsatellite loci (Fig. 1, Table 3). The effective number of alleles per locus ranged from 6.51 (FS3-04) to 12.66 (FCM5). The observed heterozygosity ranged from 0.33 (FCM5) to 0.86 (Sfc0036), whereas the expected heterozygosity was between 0.83 (FS3-04) and 0.92 (FCM5). The average frequency of null alleles was 0.159, with the highest value in loci FCM5 (0.308) and the lowest value in loci Sfc0036 (0.035). None of the tested loci conformed to HWE in the analyzed populations, with the exception of Sfc0036 in populations AT and NT. Mature populations had genetic parameter values similar to those of seedling populations (Table 4). Of 310 detected alleles, 201 were common in the mature and seedling populations, 47 alleles were specific to mature populations, and 62 were specific to seedling populations. The expected heterozygosity ranged from 0.86 (population

**Table 3.** Genetic parameters of the used loci.

NT) to 0.90 (populations VR and AT), while the observed heterozygosity ranged from 0.38 (VT) to ShT (0.70). Inbreeding coefficient values were rather low (from 0.010 in VR to 0.151 in AR) and were shaped in most populations by the presence of the null alleles (Table 4). In all tested stands, private alleles were observed, with an especially high number in population VR (18 alleles). In total, 75 private alleles were detected; of these, 56 were rare and had a frequency below 0.05. The average global Fst calculated in FREENA software was 0.040 without ENA correction and 0.032 with ENA correction (Supplement 1). Pairwise Fst values ranged from 0.14 (between VT and AT) to 0.065 (between NT and AR) and indicated that seedling populations were more similar to each other than to mature populations (Fig. 2). Population NT was most different from the other stands. Population differentiation parameters were calculated using pairs of stands as an input, with both stands as the subpopulations (Table 5).



N= total number of alleles, A= the average number of alleles per population, Ae= number of effective alleles, Ap= number of private alleles, Null= frequency of null alleles, Hobs= observed heterozygosity, Hexp= expected heterozygosity, Gst= Nei's Gst, D= Jost's D.

**Table 4.** Genetic parameters in the analysed populations.

| <b>Population</b> |        |          |          |          | <b>SH</b> |          |          |          |         | Average  |
|-------------------|--------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|----------|
|                   | Mature | Seedling | Mature   | Seedling | Mature    | Seedling | Mature   | Seedling | Mature  | Seedling |
| N                 | 30     | 30       | 30       | 30       | 30        | 30       | 30       | 30       | 30      | 30       |
| A                 | 14.1   | 16       | l 5.4    | 13.9     | 14.6      | 15.4     | 13.1     | 15.7     | 14.3    | 15.25    |
| Ae                | 9.42   | 10.37    | 10.87    | 9.31     | 9.99      | 10.45    | 7.97     | 9.58     | 9.5625  | 9.9275   |
| Ap                |        | 18       | 10       | 12       | 8         |          |          |          | 7.75    |          |
| Null              | 0.263  | 0.161    | 0.116    | 0.175    | 0.112     | 0.133    | 0.18     | 0.133    | 0.16775 | 0.1505   |
| Hobs              | 0.38   | 0.61     | 0.69     | 0.55     | 0.7       | 0.66     | 0.53     | 0.63     | 0.575   | 0.6125   |
| Hexp              | 0.87   | 0.9      | 0.9      | 0.88     | 0.89      | 0.89     | 0.86     | 0.89     | 0.88    | 0.89     |
| Fis               | 0.134  | $0.010*$ | $0.025*$ | $0.151*$ | 0.029     | $0.025*$ | $0.028*$ | $0.027*$ | 0.054   | 0.05325  |

N= total number of individuals, A= the average number of alleles, Ae= number of effective alleles, Ap= number of private alleles, Null= frequency of null alleles, Hobs= observed heterozygosity, Hexp= expected heterozygosity, FIS - fixation index, \*= the random mating model was more probable than the full model



**Fig. 1.** Polyacrylamide gel for the SSR marker FS3- 04 (Sample 1-7 of the population of Asalem; left to right). Coulm 4 is the ladder.



**Fig. 2.** Values of Fst between tested populations, with ENA correction (above diagonal) and without ENA correction (below diagonal). For explanations of the abbreviations of the populations, see Table 1.

**Table 5.** Population differentiation measures between pairs of populations.

| <b>Stands</b> | Hs   | Ht   | Gst  | $G$ "st | D het | D mean |
|---------------|------|------|------|---------|-------|--------|
| VT-VR         | 0.90 | 0.92 | 0.03 | 0.51    | 0.49  | 0.32   |
| $AT-AR$       | 0.90 | 0.92 | 0.02 | 0.44    | 0.42  | 0.32   |
| $ShT-ShR$     | 0.91 | 0.93 | 0.02 | 0.39    | 0.37  | 0.05   |
| NT-NR         | 0.89 | 0.91 | 0.02 | 0.41    | 0.38  | 0.28   |
| Global        | 0.90 | 0.94 | 0.04 | 0.45    | 0.43  | 0.35   |

Hs-within-population heterozygosity, Ht– expected total-heterozygosity, Gst– Nei's Gst, G''st - Hedrick's G"st; D het-harmonic mean of Jost's D for each locus; D\_mean– average value of Jost's D across loci. According to the obtained results, the populations in each pair (mature trees-seedlings) were rather different (global average  $D = 0.35$ ). The average percentage of current migrants in

the population was 8.83% and varied from 6.64% in population VR to 13.41% in population NR. The strongest gene flow was observed from stand NT into population NR, where 7.14% of individuals came from population NT (Fig. 3A). Historical immigration was strongest for population ShR, especially from stand AT (53.1 individuals; Fig. 3B).

Theta was similar in the tested populations and varied between 0.95 in population VT and 2.69 in population NR (Supplement 2).



**Fig. 3.** Results of migration analysis conducted with A) BAYESASS 3.0 software and B) Migrate-N. For explanations of the abbreviations of the populations, see Table 1.

#### **Genetic structure**

Structure analysis was performed, and two genetic clusters were identified. The first consisted of mature populations, whereas the second was typical of seedling populations (Fig. 4). One seedling stand, NR, showed a strong admixture from the mature population. The non-Bayesian method used in the DAPC estimated three genetic clusters, with a pattern similar to STRUCTURE – two clusters were typical for mature populations, whereas one was typical for seedling stands. The obtained genetic groups confirmed the results from FREENA and allowed us to clearly distinguish two sets of populations. AMOVA showed that 62% of molecular variance occurs within individuals, 34% among individuals, and 4% among populations (Table 6).



**Fig. 4.** Results of clustering using STRUCTURE (best  $K = 2$ ) and DAPC (best  $K = 3$ ). For explanations of the abbreviations of the populations, see Table 1.

DAPC showed close genetic similarity between adults and regeneration in two populations (SH and N) but clear genetic distance between adults and regeneration in two other populations (V and A; Fig. 5).



**Fig. 5.** Results of DAPC Populations: 1-VT, 2-VR, 3- AT, 4-AR, 5-ShT, 6-ShR, 7-NT, and 8-NR; For explanations of the abbreviations of the populations, see Table 1.

#### **Discussion**

Assessment of genetic variation among four populations of Oriental beech in the present study showed a relatively high genetic diversity  $(Ho= 0.61, He = 0.9)$ . Overall, the genetic diversity of beech in the Hyrcanian forest exhibited a tendency toward a heterozygote deficit since the mean value of observed heterozygosity (Ho=0.59) was lower than the expected heterozygosity ( $He = 0.88$ ) in all studied populations. Similar findings were also presented in other studies of beech, with the following mean values of Ho and He: Ho: 0.606 and He: 0.618 (Müller and Finkeldey 2016), Ho: 0.618 and He: 0.622 (Rajendra et al. 2014), Ho: 0.661 and He: 0.777 (Bilela et al. 2012), and Ho: 0.67 and He: 0.69 (Szasz-Len and Konnert 2018). Large differences between the expected and observed heterozygosity were found for both the offspring cohort and adult generation in all populations under study. If the observed heterozygosity is lower than expected, we must look for reasons to explain this disparity, such as inbreeding and reduced gene flow among populations. Comparison of gene flow from the previous generation (mature trees) to the current

generation (seedlings) also indicated a significant reduction in gene flow between populations. The heterozygote deficiency in Oriental beech could be caused by the high rate of inbreeding, the population subdivision (Wahlund effect), and the presence of "null alleles" (nonamplifying alleles). Another possible reason for the high values of inbreeding could be the significant reduction of mother trees due to irregular cutting and silviculture management (Nasiri *et al*., 2023). One or more of these factors may account for a significant deficit of heterozygotes in the studied populations.

**Table 6.** Analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) using 10 SSR primers among and within eight stands

| of 240 <i>Fagus orientalis</i> samples. |     |          |        |           |               |  |  |  |
|---|-----|----------|--------|-----------|---------------|--|--|--|
| Source                                  | df  | SS       | МS     | Est. Var. | $\frac{0}{0}$ |  |  |  |
| Among                                   |     | 119.798  | 17.114 | 0.182     | 4%            |  |  |  |
| Pops                                    |     |          |        |           |               |  |  |  |
| Among                                   | 232 | 1430.450 | 6.166  | 1.623     | 34%           |  |  |  |
| Indiv                                   |     |          |        |           |               |  |  |  |
| Within                                  | 240 | 700.500  | 2.919  | 2.919     | 62%           |  |  |  |
| Indiv                                   |     |          |        |           |               |  |  |  |
| Total                                   | 479 | 2250.748 |        | 4.725     | 100%          |  |  |  |

The results of the present study provide evidence of clear genetic differentiation between offspring and mature trees in two of the four populations under study. The occurrence of this distinction is probably related to human intervention by altering the forest through fragmentation or intensive exploitation or changing the direction of selection due to pollution or other climatic variations (Savolainen and Kärkkäinen, 1992). Additionally, the distinct genetic structure of the populations may be related to asymmetric migration (fewer migrants than in other stands) and low gene flow from neighboring sites, reducing the number of alleles and genetic diversity and viability as a result of genetic drift (Allendorf *et al*., 2022; Forsdick *et al*., 2017). In line with that concept, compared to *Fagus sylvatica* (Piotti *et al*., 2012) and *Fagus crenata* (Hanaoka *et al*., 2007), less inbreeding depression and migration were found across populations of Oriental beech (with a maximum of 15%). Furthermore, the low average Fst (0.040) found in this study is consistent with Fst values found in Hyrcanian beech forests by other researchers (Salehi Shanjani *et al*., 2010).

The fact that there was only a slight difference in He and Ae between the offspring cohort and the adult generation supports the findings that genetic drift and inbreeding were minimal in the studied stands, implying that other factors may have influenced the formation of a clear genetic structure between them. In fact, nonoccurrence of inbreeding in the adult generation is expected for this species due to some characteristics of its floral biology (Nielsen and Schaffalitsky-de-Muckadell, 1954). Beech trees are monoecious, wind-pollinated, allogamous, and selfincompatible (Merzeau *et al*., 1994). The position of male and female flowers relative to each other (hercogamy) and the nonsimultaneous anthesis of male and female flowers (protogyny) limit the possibility of self-fertilization. However, in some populations, the higher inbreeding level and significant difference between Ho and He, as well as the significant increase in private allele number, suggest the beginning of processes such as genetic drift, which are usually observed in fragmented populations (Aguilar *et al*., 2019; Wiberg *et al*., 2016). Utilizing different silviculture methods, such as shelterwood cutting, and a great amount of smuggling and human interference for long periods of time leads to a decrease in the density of mature trees in the populations and an increase in the spatial genetic structure by increasing the probability of pollination by spatially proximal relatives (Epperson 2003). Private allele numbers and inbreeding values vary in the stands, most likely due to human intervention. The largest number of private alleles ( $n = 18$ ) was found in the VR populations, whereas a relatively low genetic diversity (Ho= 0.38, He  $= 0.87$  and the lowest number of private alleles  $(n = 4)$  were discovered in the adult stand of this population (VT). Increasing the number of private alleles makes the natural population more capable of use in selective breeding for more adaptability and resistance to the destructive effect of climate change (Sun *et al*., 2016).

# **Conclusion**

The present study is the first to compare genetic diversity among current and future generations of *Fagus orientalis* in the Hyrcanian forest. Allelic diversity and expected heterozygosity were moderately high, although relatively large differences were measured between Ho and He in the populations under study. One of the crucial impacts of forest management practices on forest tree populations is an alteration of the amount and distribution of genetic variation (Sagnard *et al*., 2011). From a large-scale landscape perspective, a relatively similar genetic structure is observed between western and eastern Hyrcanian populations, and populations are moving toward genetic purity. The analysis of gene flow indicated decreased dispersal and suggested a relatively distinct genetic structure between adult trees and their seedlings in certain populations. This confirmed the hypothesis that the human-made landscape drastically affects the genetic pools of *Fagus orientalis* in the Hyrcanian forest and will increase the vulnerability of this species to climate change in the future.

# **Acknowledgements**

We are grateful and thankful to Dr Kambiz Espahbodi and Dr Anoushirvan Shirvani for their valuable technical assistance. In addition, our thanks go to Mohsen Yousefzadeh for his help in collecting the leaf samples.

### **Author contributions**

HY and MN designated the research. HY, NA, and LW wrote the main manuscript text and prepared all figures and tables. TD and GK revised it critically for important intellectual content. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

# **Funding**

This work was supported by funds provided by the Tarbiat Modares University (TMU).

# **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare no competing interests.

# **References**

- Aguilar, R., Cristóbal-Pérez, E. J., Balvino-Olvera, F. J., de Jesús Aguilar-Aguilar, M., Aguirre-Acosta, N., Ashworth, L., ... & Quesada, M. (2019). Habitat fragmentation reduces plant progeny quality: a global synthesis. Ecology Letters, 22, 1163-1173. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13272>
- Alberto, F.J., Aitken, S.N., Alía, R., González-Martínez, S.C., Hänninen, H., Kremer, A., Lefèvre, F., Lenormand, T., Yeaman, S.,

Whetten, R., & Savolainen, O. (2013). Potential for evolutionary responses to climate change-evidence from tree populations. *Global Change Biology*, 19(6), 1645-1661.

<https://doi.org/10.1111%2Fgcb.12181>

- Allendorf, FW., Funk, WC., Aitken, SN., Byrne, M., & Luikart, G. (2022). Conservation and the genomics of populations. Oxford University Press <https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.13499>
- Aranda, I., Cano, F. J., Gascó, A., Cochard, H., Nardini, A., Mancha, J. A., ... & Sánchez-Gómez, D (2015). Variation in photosynthetic performance and hydraulic architecture across European beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) populations supports the case for local adaptation to water stress. *Tree Physiology*, 35(1), 34-46. <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpu101>
- Asuka, Y., Tani, N., Tsumura, Y., & Tomaru, N. (2004). Development and characterization of microsatellite markers for *Fagus crenata* Blume. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, 4(1), 101- 103.

<https://doi.org/10.1046/j.14718286.2003.00583.x>

- Bassam, B. J., Caetano-Anollés, G., & Gresshoff, P. M. (1991). Fast and sensitive silver staining of DNA in polyacrylamide gels. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 196(1), 80-83. https://doi.org[/10.1016/0003-2697\(91\)90120-i](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697(91)90120-i)
- Beerli, P., & Felsenstein, J. (1999). Maximumlikelihood estimation of migration rates and effective population numbers in two populations using a coalescent approach. *Genetics*, 152(2), 763-773. https://doi.org[/10.1093/genetics/152.2.763](https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/152.2.763)
- Beerli, P., & Palczewski, M. (2010). Unified framework to evaluate panmixia and migration direction among multiple sampling locations. *Genetics*, 185(1), 313-326. <https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.109.112532>
- Bijarpasi, M. M., Müller, M., & Gailing, O. (2020). Genetic diversity and structure of Oriental and European Beech populations from Iran and Europe. *Silvae Genetica*, 69(1), 55-62. <https://doi.org/10.2478/sg-2020-0008>
- Bilela, S., Dounavi, A., Fussi, B., Konnert, M., Holst, J., Mayer, H., Rennenberg, H., & Simon, J. (2012) Natural regeneration of Fagus sylvatica L. adapts with maturation to warmer and drier microclimatic conditions.

*Forest Ecology and Management*, 275:60-67 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2012.03.009>

- Breed, M. F., Ottewell, K. M., Gardner, M. G., Marklund, M. H., Dormontt, E. E., & Lowe, A. J. (2015). Mating patterns and pollinator mobility are critical traits in forest fragmentation genetics. *Heredity*, 115(2), 108-114. <https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2013.48>
- Bresson, C. C., Vitasse, Y., Kremer, A., & Delzon, S. (2011). To what extent is altitudinal variation of functional traits driven by genetic adaptation in European oak and beech?. *Tree Physiology*, 31(11), 1164-1174. <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpr084>
- Chapuis, M. P., & Estoup, A. (2007). Microsatellite null alleles and estimation of population differentiation. *Molecular Biology And Evolution*, 24(3), 621-631. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msl191>
- Chybicki, I. J., & Burczyk, J. (2009). Simultaneous estimation of null alleles and inbreeding coefficients. *Journal Of Heredity*, 100(1), 106-113.

<https://doi.org/10.1093/jhered/esn088>

- Cuguen, J., Merzeau, D., & Thiphaut, B. (1988). Genetic structure of the European beech stands (Fagus sylvatica L.): F-statistics and importance of mating system characteristics in their evolution. *Heredity*, 60(1), 91-100. https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.1988.14
- Eckert, C. G., Kalisz, S., Geber, M. A., Sargent, R., Elle, E., Cheptou, P. O., ... & Winn, A. A. (2010). Plant mating systems in a changing world. Trends in Ecology and Evolution,  $25(1)$ ,  $35-43$ .

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2009.06.013> El-Kassaby, Y. A., Dunsworth, B. G., &

- Krakowski, J. (2003). Genetic evaluation of alternative silvicultural systems in coastal montane forests: western hemlock and amabilis fir. *Theoretical And Applied Genetics*, 107, 598-610. https://doi.org[/10.1007/s00122-003-1291-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-003-1291-3)
- Epperson, B. K. (2003). Geographical genetics (MPB-38) (Vol. 38). Princeton University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400835621>
- Fageria, M. S., & Rajora, O. P. (2014). Effects of silvicultural practices on genetic diversity and population structure of white spruce in Saskatchewan. *Tree Genetics & Genomes*,

10, 287-296. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11295-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11295-013-0682-0) [013-0682-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11295-013-0682-0)

- Finkeldey, R., & Ziehe, M. (2004). Genetic implications of silvicultural regimes. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 197(1-3), 231- 244. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2004.05.036
- Forsdick, N. J., Cubrinovska, I., Massaro, M., & Hale, M. L. (2017). Genetic diversity and population differentiation within and between island populations of two sympatric Petroica robins, the Chatham Island black robin and tomtit. *Conservation Genetics*, 18, 275-285. https://doi.org[/10.1007/s10592-016-0899-1](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10592-016-0899-1)
- Geburek, T. (1997). Isozymes and DNA markers in gene conservation of forest trees. *Biodiversity and Conservation,* 6, 1639-1654. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1018330906758>
- Gougherty, A. V., Keller, S. R., & Fitzpatrick, M. C. (2021). Maladaptation, migration and extirpation fuel climate change risk in a forest tree species. *Nature Climate Change*, 11(2), 166-171. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-020-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-020-00968-6) [00968-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-020-00968-6)
- Hajek, P., Kurjak, D., von Wühlisch, G., Delzon, S., & Schuldt, B. (2016). Intraspecific variation in wood anatomical, hydraulic, and foliar traits in ten European beech provenances differing in growth yield. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 7, 791. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00791>
- Hanaoka, S., Yuzurihara, J., Asuka, Y., Tomaru, N., Tsumura, Y., Kakubari, Y., & Mukai, Y. (2007). Pollen-mediated gene flow in a small, fragmented natural population of Fagus crenata. *Botany*, 85(4), 404-413. https://doi.org[/10.1139/B07-036](http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/B07-036)
- Hosius, B., Leinemann, L., Konnert, M., & Bergmann, F. (2006). Genetic aspects of forestry in the Central Europe. European Journal of Forest Research, 125(4), 407-417. https://doi.org[/10.1007/s10342-006-0136-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10342-006-0136-4)
- Hosseini, S. M., Madjnonian, B., & Nieuwenhuis, M. (2000). Damage to natural regeneration in the Hyrcanian forests of Iran: a comparison of two typical timber extraction operations. *Journal of Forest Engineering*,  $11(2)$ , 69-73.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/08435243.2000.10702756>

Janfaza, S., Yousefzadeh, H., Hosseini Nasr, S. M., Botta, R., Asadi Abkenar, A., & Torello M, D. (2017). Genetic diversity of Castanea sativa an endangered species in the Hyrcanian forest. *Silva Fennica*, 51(1), 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.14214/sf.1705>

- Jombart, T. (2008). Analyses multivariées de marqueurs génétiques: développements méthodologiques, applications et extensions (Doctoral dissertation, Lyon 1). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2022.872176>
- Kempf, M., & Konnert, M. (2016). Distribution of genetic diversity in Fagus sylvatica at the north-eastern edge of the natural range. *Silva Fennica*, 50(4). <https://doi.org/10.14214/sf.1663>
- Kijowska-Oberc, J., Staszak, A. M., Kamiński, J., & Ratajczak, E. (2020). Adaptation of forest trees to rapidly changing climate. *Forests*, 11(2), 123. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f11020123>
- Kopelman, N. M., Mayzel, J., Jakobsson, M., Rosenberg, N. A., & Mayrose, I. (2015). Clumpak: a program for identifying clustering modes and packaging population structure inferences across K. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 15(5), 1179-1191. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12387>
- Kramer, K., Degen, B., Buschbom, J., Hickler, T., Thuiller, W., Sykes, M. T., & de Winter, W. (2010). Modeling exploration of the future of European beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) under climate change-range, abundance, genetic diversity and adaptive response. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 259(11), 2213-2222.

https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.foreco.2009.12.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2009.12.023)

- Merril, C. R., Dunau, M. L., & Goldman, D. (1981). A rapid sensitive silver stain for polypeptides in polyacrylamide gels. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 110(1), 201-207. https://doi.org[/10.1016/00032697\(81\)90136-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697(81)90136-6)
- Merzeau, D., Comps, B., Thiebaut, B., Cuguen, J., & Letouzey, J. (1994). Genetic structure of natural stands of *Fagus sylvatica* L.(beech). *Heredity*, 72(3), 269-277. <https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.1994.37>
- Müller, M., & Finkeldey, R. (2016). Genetic and adaptive trait variation in seedlings of European beech provenances from Northern Germany. *Silvae Genetica*, 65(2), 65-73. <https://doi.org/10.1515/sg-2016-0018>
- Murray, M. G., & Thompson, W. (1980). Rapid isolation of high molecular weight plant DNA*. Nucleic Acids Research*, 8(19), 4321- 4326. https://doi.org[/10.1093/nar/8.19.4321](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/8.19.4321)
- Nasiri, M., Yousefzadeh, H., Shirvany, A., Etemad, V., Espahbodi, K., Amirchakhmaghi, N., & Rajora, O. P. (2023). Effects of fifty years of shelterwood harvesting on genetic diversity and population structure of Oriental beech (*Fagus orientalis* L.) in the relict Hyrcanian forest. *Forest Ecology And Management*, 529, 120623. https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.foreco.2022.120623](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2022.120623)
- Nielsen, P.C. and Muckadell, M. D (1954). Flower observations and controlled pollinations in Fagus. *Silvae Genetica*, 3, 6- 17.

[https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:2224069](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:222406984) [84](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:222406984)

- Nonić, M., & Šijačić-Nikolić, M. (2021). Genetic Diversity: Sources, Threats, and Conservation. In W. Leal Filho, A. M. Azul, L. Brandli, A. Lange Salvia, & T. Wall (Eds.), Life on Land (pp. 421-435). Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-95981-8\\_53](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-95981-8_53)
- Paffetti, D., Travaglini, D., Buonamici, A., Nocentini, S., Vendramin, G. G., Giannini, R., & Vettori, C. (2012). The influence of forest management on beech (Fagus sylvatica L.) stand structure and genetic diversity. *Forest Ecology And Management*, 284, 34- 44. https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.foreco.2012.07.026](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2012.07.026)
- Paradis, E. (2010). pegas: an R package for population genetics with an integrated– modular approach. *Bioinformatics*, 26(3), 419-420.

<https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp696>

Pastorelli, R., Smulders, M. J. M., Van't Westende, W. P. C., Vosman, B., Giannini, R., Vettori, C., & Vendramin, G. G. (2003). Characterization of microsatellite markers in Fagus sylvatica L. and Fagus orientalis Lipsky. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, 3(1), 76- 78.

https://doi.org[/10.1046/j.14718286.2003.00355.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1471-8286.2003.00355.x)

Peakall, R. O. D., & Smouse, P. E. (2006). GENALEX 6: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, 6(1), 288-295.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.14718286.2005.01155.x>

Piotti, A., Leonardi, S., Buiteveld, J., Geburek, T., Gerber, S., Kramer, K., ... & Vendramin, G. G. (2012). Comparison of pollen gene flow among four European beech (Fagus sylvatica L.) populations characterized by different management regimes. *Heredity*, 108(3), 322-331. <https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2011.77>

- Pourmajidian, M. R., Malakshah, N. E., Fallah, A., & Parsakhoo, A. (2009). Evaluating the shelterwood harvesting system after 25 years in a beech *Fagus orientalis* Lipsky) forest in Iran. *Journal of Forest Science*, 55(6), 270- 278. [https://doi.org/10.17221/77/2008-JFS.](https://doi.org/10.17221/77/2008-JFS)
- Pritchard, J. K., Stephens, M., & Donnelly, P. (2000). Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. Genetics, 155(2), 945-959. https://doi.org[/10.1093/genetics/155.2.945](https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/155.2.945)

Rajendra, K. C., Seifert, S., Prinz, K., Gailing, O., & Finkeldey, R. (2014). Subtle human impacts on neutral genetic diversity and spatial patterns of genetic variation in European beech (*Fagus sylvatica*). *Forest Ecology and Management*, 319, 138-149. https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.foreco.2014.02.003](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2014.02.003)

- Rajora, O.P., Mosseler, A. (2001). Molecular markers in sustainable management, conservation, and restoration of forest genetic resources. In: Müller-Starck, G., Schubert, R. (eds) Genetic Response of Forest Systems to Changing Environmental Conditions. Forestry Sciences, vol 70. Springer, Dordrecht. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-015-](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-015-9839-2_16) [9839-2\\_16](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-015-9839-2_16)
- Rasaneh, Y., Moshtagh-Kahnamoie, M. H., & Salehi, P. (2001). Quantitative and qualitative investigation on forests of northern Iran. Proceedings of the National Meeting on Management of Northern Forests in Iran, Ramsar, 6-7 September, pp. 55-79. (In Persian).
- Sagheb Talebi, K., Sajedi, T., & Pourhashemi, M. (2014). Forests of Iran: A Treasure from the Past, a Hope for the Future (No. 15325). Springer Netherlands. https://doi.org[/10.1007/978-94-007-7371-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-7371-4)
- Sagheb‐Talebi, K., & Schütz, J. P. (2002). The structure of natural oriental beech (Fagus orientalis) forests in the Caspian region of Iran and potential for the application of the group selection system. *Forestry*, 75(4), 465- 472. <https://doi.org/10.1093/forestry/75.4.465>
- Sagnard, F., Oddou-Muratorio, S., Pichot, C., Vendramin, G. G., & Fady, B. (2011). Effects of seed dispersal, adult tree and seedling

density on the spatial genetic structure of regeneration at fine temporal and spatial scales. *Tree Genetics and Genomes*, 7(1), 37- 48. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11295-010-0313-y>

- Savolainen, O., & Kärkkäinen, K. (1992). Effect of forest management on gene pools. In Population Genetics of Forest Trees: Proceedings of the International Symposium on Population Genetics of Forest Trees Corvallis, Oregon, USA, July 31-August 2, 1990 (pp. 329-345). Springer Netherlands. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11295-010-0313-y>
- Sefidi, K., Mohadjer, M. R. M., Mosandl, R., & Copenheaver, C. A. (2011). Canopy gaps and regeneration in old-growth Oriental beech (Fagus orientalis Lipsky) stands, northern Iran. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 262(6),1094-1099.

https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.foreco.2011.06.008](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2011.06.008)

Shafiei, A. B., Akbarinia, M., Jalali, G., & Hosseini, M. (2010). Forest fire effects in beech dominated mountain forest of Iran. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 259(11), 2191-2196.

https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.foreco.2010.02.025](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2010.02.025)

- Salehi Shanjani, P., Vendramin, G. G., & Calagari, M. (2010). Genetic diversity and differentiation of Fagus orientalis Lipsky in Hyrcanian forests revealed by nuclear and chloroplast microsatellite markers. *Conservation Genetics*, 11, 2321-2331. https://doi.org[/10.1007/s10592-010-0118-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10592-010-0118-4)
- Šijačić-Nikolić, M., Milovanović, J., & Nonić, M. (2014). Conservation of forest genetic resources. *Biotechnology and Biodiversity*, 103-128. https://doi.org[/10.1007/978-3-319-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-09381-9) [09381-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-09381-9)
- Sork, V. L., Davis, F. W., Smouse, P. E., Apsit, V. J., Dyer, R. J., Fernandez-M, J. F., & Kuhn, B. (2002). Pollen movement in declining populations of California Valley oak, Quercus lobata: where have all the fathers gone?. *Molecular Ecology*, 11(9), 1657-1668. [https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294x.2002.01574.x) [294x.2002.01574.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294x.2002.01574.x)
- Souza, L. C., Júnior, A. S., Souza, M. C., Kunz, S. H., & Miranda, F. D. (2017). Genetic diversity of *Plathymenia reticulata* Benth. in fragments of Atlantic Forest in southeastern

Brazil. Genetics and Molecular Research, 16(3). https://doi.org[/10.4238/gmr16039775](https://doi.org/10.4238/gmr16039775)

- Sun, R., Lin, F., Huang, P., & Zheng, Y. (2016). Moderate genetic diversity and genetic differentiation in the relict tree Liquidambar formosana Hance revealed by genic simple sequence repeat markers*. Frontiers in Plant Science*,7,1411. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01411>
- Szasz-Len, A. M., & Konnert, M. (2018). Genetic diversity in European beech (Fagus sylvatica L.) seed stands in the Romanian Carpathians. *Annals of Forest Research*, 65- 80. https://doi.org[/10.15287/afr.2018.1019](http://dx.doi.org/10.15287/afr.2018.1019)
- Vajari, K. A., Jalilvand, H., Pourmajidian, M. R., Espahbodi, K., & Moshki, A. (2012). Effect of canopy gap size and ecological factors on species diversity and beech seedlings in managed beech stands in Hyrcanian forests. *Journal of Forestry Research*, 23, 217-222. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11676-012-0244-6>
- Vekemans, X., & Hardy, O. J. (2004). New insights from fine-scale spatial genetic structure analyses in plant populations. *Molecular Ecology*, 13(4), 921-935. https://doi.org[/10.1046/j.1365294x.2004.02076](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294x.2004.02076.x)
- Vranckx, G., Jacquemyn, H., Mergeay, J., Cox, K., Kint, V., Muys, B., & Honnay, O. (2014). Transmission of genetic variation from the adult generation to naturally established seedling cohorts in small forest stands of pedunculate oak (*Quercus robur* L.). *Forest Ecology and Management*, 312, 19-27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2013.10.027>
- Wiberg, R. A. W., Scobie, A. R., A'Hara, S. W., Ennos, R. A., & Cottrell, J. E. (2016). The genetic consequences of long term habitat fragmentation on a self-incompatible clonal plant, Linnaea borealis L. *Biological Conservation*, 201, 405-413. https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.biocon.2016.07.032](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2016.07.032)
- Wilson, G. A., & Rannala, B. (2003). Bayesian inference of recent migration rates using multilocus genotypes. *Genetics*, 163(3),1177- 1191. https://doi.org[/10.1093/genetics/163.3.1177](https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/163.3.1177)
- Winter, D. J. (2012). MMOD: an R library for the calculation of population differentiation statistics. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 12(6), 1158-1160. https://doi.org[/10.1111/j.17550998.2012. 03174.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2012.03174.x)