

### Altered DREB1A Gene Expression in Arabidopsis thaliana affects Root Growth, Antioxidant Enzymes Activity, and Response to Salinity but Not to Cold

Eisa Kohan-Baghkheirati<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>, Mohammad B. Bagherieh-Najjar<sup>1\*</sup>, Ahmad Abdolzadeh<sup>1</sup> and Jane Geisler-Lee<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biology, Golestan University, Gorgan, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Department of Biology, Hakim Sabzevari University, Sabzevar, Iran

<sup>3</sup>Department of Plant Biology, Southern Illinois University Carbondale, Carbondale, IL 62901, USA

ARTICLEINFO	A B S T R A C T
Article history: Received 17 March 2018 Accepted 29 May 2018 Available online 31 August 2018	<i>DREB1A</i> (Dehydration Responsive Element Binding 1A) transcription factor is involved in plant responses to abiotic stresses. An <i>A. thaliana DREB1A</i> T-DNA insertional mutant ( <i>dreb1a</i> ) alongside previously reported <i>DREB1A</i> over- expressing plants (OX28) were detailed in molecular and phenotypic characterizations. The T-DNA of the <i>dreb1a</i> line was inserted at position -253,
<i>Keywords:</i> <i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> <i>DREB1A</i> Salinity Root growth Antioxidant enzymes activity	and segregation ratio confirmed a single T-DNA locus in its T0 plant population. The RT-PCR analysis of <i>dreb1a</i> seedlings also revealed a null mutant in the <i>DREB1A</i> gene. The phenotypes of the <i>dreb1a</i> seedlings subjected to cold stress were not different from those of the wild type (WT-Col0), but under salinity <i>dreb1a</i> plants showed about 11% less seed germination and the four times less survival rate, compared to WT-Col0 plants. Under normal growth conditions, there was a direct correlation between <i>DREB1A</i> expression levels and the root length as the <i>dreb1a</i> , in contrast to the OX28 line, showing
* <i>Corresponding author:</i> ⊠ M.B. Bagherieh-Najjar mb.bagherieh@gu.ac.ir	29% longer roots than that in the WT-Col0 plants. Interestingly, this root phenotype had an association with the accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in <i>dreb1a</i> by 31% less, and in OX28 by 97% more than that in the control seedlings. In addition, the <i>dreb1a</i> plant possessed significantly higher activities in superoxide dismutase, peroxidase, polyphenol oxidase and significantly lower activity in catalase than WT-Col0, but no differences in
<b>Print &amp; Online ISSN:</b> p-ISSN 2423-4257 e-ISSN 2588-2589	extracellular peroxidase activity. On the other hand, the OX28 plant possessed a higher extracellular peroxidase activity. Overall, these results suggest that a precise expression level of <i>DREB1A</i> gene is required for proper growth and development in <i>A. thaliana</i> . © 2015 UMZ. All rights reserved.

Please cite this paper as: Kohan-Baghkheirati E, Bagherieh-Najjar MB, Abdolzadeh A, Geisler-Lee J. 2018. Altered DREB1A Gene Expression in Arabidopsis thaliana affects Root Growth, Antioxidant Enzymes Activity, and Response to Salinity but Not to Cold. J Genet Resour 4(2): 90-104. doi: 10.22080/jgr.2019.15528.1117

#### Introduction

Plants, as sessile organisms, are constantly exposed to diverse environmental stimuli which may trigger various biochemical, physiological and molecular responses, mostly mediated by transcription factors. DREB1 transcription factors, also called CBF (C-repeat Binding Factors) are conserved in the plant kingdom and play vital roles in plant responses to various abiotic stresses such as cold, drought, and high salinity (Agarwal et al., 2006). All DREB1/CBF

transcription factors can bind to the DRE (Dehydration-Responsive Element) cisregulatory conserved CCGAC core DNA sequence and regulate a complex network of between 100 (Park et al., 2015) and 400 genes (Zhao et al., 2016). The A. thaliana genome contains three DREB1/CBF family members which lie in tandem on chromosome 4 in the order of DREB1B/CBF1, DREB1A/CBF3 and (Yamaguchi-Shinozaki DREB1C/CBF2 and Shinozaki, 1994). It seems that DREB1C acts as

a negative regulator of *DREB1A* and *DREB1B* (Novillo *et al.*, 2004; Novillo *et al.*, 2007). Among these, the *DREB1B* as a closest homolog of *DREB1A* encodes a protein with 213-amino acids, but two other genes, *DREB1A* and *DREB1C*, encode a protein with 216 amino acids.

In A. thaliana, the level of DREB1A is upregulated by ICE1 (Inducer of CBF Expression) transcription factor, which is activated through phosphorylation, when seedlings are grown under cold stress (Chinnusamy et al., 2003). Later studies indicated that the ICE1-DREB1A regulon (i.e., a group of genes that are regulated as a unit) is the main cold tolerance pathway in higher plants that governs about 100 downstream modulating diverse stress-inducible genes metabolic pathways, such as sugar, lipid and osmoprotectant biosynthesis (Seki et al., 2002; Shi et al., 2015). In addition, the ICE1-DREB1A regulon has crosstalk with most plant hormones such as ethylene (Kazan 2015), and Gibberellin (Suo et al., 2012; Tonkinson et al., 1997). For example, elevated levels of DREB1A expression in soybean caused a dwarf phenotype with small dark green leaves and delayed flowering, which was associated with decreased endogenous GA levels (Suo et al., 2012). ICE1-DREB1A regulon also contributes in mediating of development through connectivity with ROS status and antioxidant enzyme activity (Jia et al., 2016; Li. X. et al., 2011; Rai et al., 2013; Wan et al., 2014). The complex interaction network of DREB1A, hormones, and ROS status modulates growth and development plant under environmental stresses (Bartoli et al., 2013).

A. thaliana plants with elevated levels of DREB1A expression show elevated freezing tolerance in non-acclimated plants (Gilmour et al., 2000; Kasuga et al., 1999; Liu et al., 1998). On the other hand, RNAi, antisense, and CRISPR/Cas9 derived mutant lines of DREB1A have been applied to curtail expression of DREB1A in order to understand their roles in freezing tolerance and to establish functional redundancy among DREBs transcription factors (Novillo et al., 2004; Novillo et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2016). Despite the aforementioned research, the plants lacking basal levels of DREB1A expression has not yet been fully described to establish effects of DREB1A in the plant growth and development. In this study, we characterization of a *dreb1a* T-DNA insertional mutant and a *DREB1A* over-expression line in *A. thaliana*. The role of *DREB1A* in root development, ROS status and antioxidant enzymes activity were also investigate as well as the phenotype of *dreb1a* plants under cold stress and salinity.

### Materials and Methods

### Plant materials and seed germination

Five different types of A. thaliana seeds were used in this study. Two types of the five were Columbia (Col-0) accession seeds including the wild type (hereinafter WT) and the T-DNA transformed line (ID: N413033) in the DREB1A gene which was purchased from Nottingham Arabidopsis Seed Center. The wild type Col-0 line (WT-Col0) was used as background control of DREB1A mutant line (dreb1a). The other Wassilewskija (WS-2) three types were accession seeds including the wild type WS-2 (WT-WS2), the DREB1A-overexpressing (OX28), and the empty vector containing plant (Vector), which were kindly provided by Professor M. F. Thomashow (Michigan State University, USA) (Gilmour et al., 2000). The WT-WS2 and Vector lines were used as background controls of *DREB1A* overexpressing line, OX28. Two wild type lines (i.e. WT-Col0 and WT-WS2) were applied to normalize background effects of two different accessions in the same treatments.

Seeds were surface sterilized with 15 % (v/v)commercial bleach for 15 min, washed with ddH<sub>2</sub>O for five times and sown either on plates containing Murashige and Skoog (MS) (Murashige and Skoog, 1962) medium supplemented with 3 % sucrose and 0.7 % agar, or on Fafard 4M Mix soil (No. 8063028, Conrad Fafard. USA). Plates and pots were incubated for four days at 4 °C and transferred to a growth chamber with a 12-hour light cycle, 22/16 °C and light intensity of 27.20 µmol s<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup>.

### dreb1a mutant isolation and characterization

The T-DNA transformed line (ID: N413033) which contained a T-DNA insertion at the predicted-position of -302 in the prompter region

of the DREB1A gene was first genotyped by PCR amplification, using combinations of genomic DREB1A and the T-DNA specific primers (first two rows in Table 1). For DNA extraction, 3-5 mg tissue (*i.e.* one rosette leaves) of two-week-old plants were ground in extraction solution according to Kasajima et al., (2004). Residual tissues in solution were left in the tube and 1  $\mu$ l of the supernatant was added to 20 µl of PCR mixture. Amplification was done using DNA Taq-polymerase (Takara, Japan) for 35 cycles: a 3 minutes melt at 94 °C first; then cycles with 30 second melt at 94 °C, 30 second annealing at 55 °C and 30 second extension at 72 °C; finally 5 min of primer extension at 72 °C. Amplified PCR products were run and checked on 1 % agarose gel. The amplified PCR product was sequenced (BioNeer, Korea) to confirm the position of T-DNA in the DREB1A promoter. The individual lines were screened to select homozygous lines by sowing seeds on MS medium. After two weeks, seedling was screened by PCR to find homozygous lines. Then, each homozygous seedling was selected and carefully transferred to half strength Hoagland medium (Hoagland and Arnon 1950) to complete its life cycle and to produce seeds. The seeds were allowed to grow and self to get hemizygous *dreb1a* lines for downstream analyses.

Segregation of hemizygous *dreb1a* lines from non-T-DNA lines was performed using sulfadiazine resistance/sensitivity. Fifty seeds per plate were grown on MS medium with or without 7.5 mg/L of sulfadiazine (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA), and four plates (*i.e.*, biological replicates) for treatment or control were used; the segregation was repeated three times (*i.e.*, technical replicates). The sulfadiazine resistant/sensitive phenotypes at 20 days after planting (DAP) were presented as yellow plantlets without real first leaves.

Table 1. The list of primers used for T-DNA genotyping and expression analyses.

Name	Sequence (5'-3')	Product Size (bp)	Application
DREB1A-Promoter	P1: TTTCCGCCAAAACTACTTGG	186	Mutant Genotyping
	P2: CAAAAGAAAAGGCGAAGACG	400	
T-DNA	P3: ATATTGACCATCATACTCATTGC	-	Mutant Genotyping
	P4: GTGGATTGATGTGATATCTCC		
DREB1A-exon	F: GATGACGACGTATCGTTATGGA	144	RT-PCR
	R: TACACTCGTTTCTCAGTTTTACAAAC		
COR15a	F: GCTTCAGATTTCGTGACGGATAAAAC	333	RT-PCR
	R: GCAAAACATTAAAGAATGTGACGGTG	555	
18SRNA	F: ATGATAACTCGACGGATCGCA	169	RT-PCR
	R: CTTGGATGTGGTAGCCGTTTC		

#### **RNA extraction and RT-PCR analysis**

Three to four rosette leaves (*i.e.*, approximately 50 mg of leaf tissues) of 4-week-old plants were grounded in liquid nitrogen using the Trizol reagent (Invitrogen) as described by the supplier to extract total RNA. The extracted RNA was then treated with RNase-free DNaseI (#EN0521, Fermentas, USA) to eliminate any residual genomic DNA.

Semi-quantitative RT-PCR reactions were performed using AccuPower CycleScript RT PerMix (dN<sub>6</sub>) and PCR PerMix kits (BioNeer, Korea) according to the manufacturer's instructions. gene-specific primers were in Table 1 (bottom three rows). The expression levels of *DREB1A* and its target gene *COLD*  *REGULATED 15 A* (*COR15a*) in *dreb1a* and WT-Col0 were detected after 2-hours incubation at 4 °C, using 18S rRNA as an internal control. Since *DREB1A* is a cold-responsive gene, there will be no detectable expression by RT-PCR if without cold stress. Thus, 2-hour cold treatment could increase the expression of *DREB1A* if the *dreb1a* mutant were not a null mutant.

### Salinity and cold treatments

To further characterize the *dreb1a* mutant, it was subjected to salinity and cold treatments. In the salinity treatment, WT-Col0 and *dreb1a* seeds were sown on MS containing plates with 0.7 % of agar, supplemented with 0, 50, 100 or 150 mM NaCl. Phenotypes of seed germination, growth Stages 0.5 (*i.e.* radicle emergence), 0.7 (*i.e.* complete emergence of hypocotyl), 1.0 (*i.e.* cotyledons fully opened), 1.02 (*i.e.* two rosette leaves), and 1.04 (*i.e.* four rosette leaves) (Boyes *et al.*, 2001) and survival rates (up to 14 DAP) were recorded and analyzed.

In the cold treatment, the seedlings at 14 DAP were transferred to the dark at 4 °C for 8 hours, 4 °C for 16 hours, and -20 °C for 30 min, followed by 3 days recovery at 22 °C. After the recovery, their phenotypes and gene expression were analyzed. Gene expression analysis followed the aforementioned methods in the sections of RNA Extraction and RT-PCR Analysis.

### Root phenotype analysis

Primary phenotypically analysis of the five different lines suggested probable role(s) of DREB1A gene in the root development. The root lengths of seeds sowing and growing on agar plates were measured between 10 and 20 DAP. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) accumulation was also localized at the first 5 mm of root tips according to Mellersh et al.. (2002).Accumulation of ROS in the first 5 mm of a root to completely cover the elongation zone was measured. Seedlings were placed in 0.005% (v/v) Nitroblue Tetrazolium (NBT) in 100 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.5 for 10 minutes to detect superoxide radicals. The seedling was, then, washed with the phosphate buffer for at least three times. For negative controls, seedlings were incubated in 10 mM propyl gallate for 60 min prior to NBT staining. Propyl gallate, an antioxidant, was used to verify the method. After NBT staining, seedlings were transferred to slides and their roots (where deep blue colors occurred) were taken images from an Olympus DP71 camera connected to an Olympus BX51 microscope. The blue color intensities of the image were processed and analyzed in the ImageJ Java version (Rueden et al., 2016; Schneider et al., 2012).

### **ROS-related enzyme assays**

Fifty mg of fresh leaves were ground in 2 ml of 100 mM extraction buffer (NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>. 2H<sub>2</sub>O at pH 7) on the ice bath (Kar and Mishra 1976).

Extracts were centrifuged at 13000 g for 15 min at 4 °C. After centrifugation, the supernatant (i.e., top phase) of the extracts was collected and then used to measure the activities of five different ROS-related enzymes: superoxide dismutase, polyphenol oxidase, catalase, ascorbate peroxidase, and peroxidase (Mittler 2002). The remaining sediments (bottom phase) of the extracts were washed with distilled ddH<sub>2</sub>O three times and then extracted twice in 1.5 ml of 1 M NaCl. Their supernatants were then used to measure extracellular peroxidase. These enzymes are involved in scavenging of ROS in plants and act as key players of plant response to stress (Mittler 2002). The activity of enzymes was detected spectrophotometrically in kinetic mode (Shimadzu UV-1601, Tokyo, Japan).

For peroxidase and extracellular peroxidase assays, 100 and 300  $\mu$ l, respectively, of the extract were added to 3 ml of assay mixture containing 40 mM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 20 mM Guaiacol, and 50 mM sodium acetate buffer (pH 5). The absorbance was scanned at 470 nm for 1 min. The molar extinction coefficient of peroxidase is 26.6 L (mmol cm)<sup>-1</sup>.

For catalase assays, 100  $\mu$ l of the extract was added to 3 ml of assay mixture containing 15 mM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, and 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH=7) (Saffar *et al.*, 2009). The absorbance was scanned at 240 nm for 1 min. The molar extinction coefficient of catalase is 40 L (mmol cm)<sup>-1</sup>.

For ascorbate peroxidase assay, 50  $\mu$ l of the extract was added to 2 ml of assay mixture containing 1.2 mM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 0.5 mM ascorbic acid, 0.1 mM EDTA and 312.5 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7). The absorbance was scanned at 290 nm for 1 min. The molar extinction coefficient of ascorbate peroxidase is 2.8 L (mmol cm)<sup>-1</sup>.

For polyphenol oxidase assay, 100  $\mu$ l of the extract was added to 3 ml of assay mixture containing 10 mM pyrogallol and 26 mM phosphate buffer (pH 6.8). The absorbance was scanned at 420 nm for 1 min. The molar extinction coefficient of polyphenol oxidase is 2.47 L (mmol cm)<sup>-1</sup>.

Superoxide dismutase was assayed based on Beauchamp et al (Beauchamp and Fridovich 1971). 3 ml of the assay mixture containing 0.05 mM NBT, 0.003 mM riboflavin, 5 mM methionine, 0.66 mM EDTA and 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 8) with or without 50  $\mu$ l of the extract were prepared. The absorbance was scanned at 560 nm for 1 min after 0, 5, 10, and 15 min incubation of reaction tubes in the dark (as control) or in the light. To measure enzyme activity per 15 min, the absorbance at time 15 (A time 15) minus absorbance at time 0 (A time 0) was used.

### Statistical Analyses

All experiments were performed at least in four biological replicates. Averages and standard deviations were calculated and the significance of the variations was confirmed by the Student's *t*-test, set for two-tailed paired analysis at indicated confidence levels.

### Results

## The *dreb1a* T-DNA line is a null mutant, mutated at a single locus

The T-DNA of the *dreb1a* line is inserted at position -253, instead of predicted position -302, in the DREB1A promoter (Fig. 1A); and the genomic sequences flanking the T-DNA are intact (Fig. 1B). The amplified fragments from both sides of the T-DNA insertion contained a similar left border T-DNA sequence; this suggests an unknown DNA rearrangement in the T-DNA (Fig. 1C). In addition, segregation by the selectable marker - sulfadiazine - in a selfing population (more than 600 seeds/seedlings) of a hemizygous drebla line revealed a 3:1 ratio (Fig. 1D). This suggests segregation of a single T-DNA locus in its T0 plant population; which corresponded to no expression of the DREBIA gene detected in the drebla seedlings grown in the normal conditions or after cold stress (Fig. 1E). Our data suggest that the *dreb1a* is a null mutant.

### *dreb1a* seedlings are sensitive to salinity but not to the cold stress

The phenotypes of the dreb1a seedlings subjected to cold stress were not different from those of the WT-Col0 after treatment with 16 hours of 4 °C or 30 minutes of -20 °C. The expression of the COR15a gene - the first downstream gene of the ICE1-DREB1A regulon in the plant response to cold stress - in the dreb1a seedlings was comparable to that in the WT-Col0 (Fig. 2A). This indicates that the expression of COR15a was not affected by mutation in the *dreb1a* plants, which explains why the *dreb1a* seedlings did not sensitivity to the cold in freezing treatments. When in the normal condition (*i.e.*, no salinity), the germination rate of the drebla seeds was significantly less  $(92\pm2.2)$  than that of the WT-Col0 seeds (98 $\pm$ 0.13;  $p \le 0.01$ ) (Fig. 2B). As the salinity concentration increased from 0 to 150 mM, the germination rates of the *dreb1a* and WT-Col0 seeds sequentially and significantly declined (Fig. 2B). However, at the 150 mM, there was no difference in the germination rates of the drebla and WT-Col0 seeds; this concentration can be the toxicity threshold as 100 mM was used in the most salinity studies (Liu et al., 2007; Novillo et al., 2004). These tests demonstrate that DREB1A is vital for seed germination of A. thaliana in both normal and saline conditions.

The growth of the drebla and WT-Col0 seedlings (to Stage 1.04, i.e. 14 DAP) in different salinity concentrations was also studied (Fig. 2C). Most of the WT-Col0 seedlings in the normal condition produced the second pair of rosette leaves (Stage 1.04). However, by concentrations. salinity increase in the percentage of the WT-Col0 seedlings with the second pair of rosette leaves decreased as far as at the 150 mM, where all the seedlings were developmentally arrested with only green opened cotyledons (Stage 1.0) and none of them could develop rosette leaves (Fig. 2C). On the other hand, the growth of the *dreb1a* seedlings at different concentrations of salinity was more significantly suppressed than that of the WT-Col0 plants (Fig. 2C). At 14 DAP, the survival rate dreb1a seedlings grown at 150 mM salinity  $(12.22\% \pm 0.618)$  was about 4 times less than that of WT-Col0 (49.76±2.42) (Fig. 2D-E). Again, this highlighted the importance of the DREB1A gene in the A. thaliana seed germination and seedling development.



**Fig. 1.** Molecular identification of the T-DNA insertion in the *dreb1a* mutant: A) PCR amplifications using *DREB1A* gene-specific primers (P1 and P2) and the T-DNA specific primers (P3 and P4); B) The status of the T-DNA junctions in the *dreb1a* plant. Nucleotide sequences of the *dreb1a* plant were aligned with that of the *DREB1A* gene and the T-DNA. Arrows indicate the insertion site. The filler sequences are indicated in the box; C) Schematic diagram of T-DNA position in the promoter of *DREB1A* gene; P, primers used in PCR; Dark Box, coding region; Grey Boxes, untranslated regions (UTRs); ATG, start codon; UAA, stop codon; D) The segregation pattern of sulfadiazine selectable marker in seeds obtained from self-pollination of a hemizygous *dreb1a* plant. E) The transcript level of *DREB1A* gene in wild type and *dreb1a* seedlings exposed to 4 °C for 2h. 18S rRNA served as an internal control.

#### DREB1A expression alters roots growth

The average root length of the WT-Col0 seedling was 25.03+1.9 mm at the 20 days after planting (Fig. 3A); however, the root of the *dreb1a* seedling grew significantly longer than that of the WT-Col0 seedling (Fig. 3B). The average root length of the WT-WS2 seedlings was 19.46+4.53 mm, slightly shorter than that of the WT-Col0 seedlings (Fig. 3A) at 20 DAP; whereas the root of the OX28 seedlings grew

significantly shorter than those of the WT-WS2 seedling (Fig. 3B). Root development and growth is regulated by intrinsic and external (environmental) responses that determine the architecture of the roots and coordinate root development with environmental signals (Malamy 2005). It is interesting to see the opposite effects of null mutation and overexpression of the DREB1A gene in the root development.



**Fig. 2.** The sensitivity of the *dreb1a* plants to cold and salinity: A) The transcript level of the *COR15a* gene in WT-Col.0 and *dreb1a* plants exposed to 4 °C for 2h. 18S rRNA served as an internal control; B-E) WT-Col.0 and *dreb1a* seeds were sown on MS medium containing either 0, 50, 100 or 150 mM NaCl and analyzed phenotypically up to the 14<sup>th</sup> day after sowing; B) Germination percentage of WT-Col.0 and *dreb1a* seeds 7 days after sowing; C) Percentage of WT-Col.0 and *dreb1a* seedlings in each growth stages 14 days after sowing; D) Representative WT-Col.0 and *dreb1a* plant 14 days after sowing on different NaCl concentrations; E) Percentage of survived plant 14 days after sowing on different NaCl concentrations of replicates determined by one-way ANOVA (P < 0.05), confirmed by *t*-test at P<0.05 (\*), P<0.01 (\*\*) or P<0.001 (\*\*\*).

### Less ROS Accumulation but Increased ROS Scavenger Enzymes in *dreb1a* Mutant Roots

It is well documented that ROS play a central role in the controlling of the root growth in A. thaliana (Dunand et al., 2007; Liszkay et al., 2004). The dreb1a roots accumulated significantly less reactive oxygen species (ROS) than the WT-Col0; on the other hand, the OX28 roots accumulated significantly more ROS than the WT-WS2 roots, almost a two-fold increase 3C-D). Perhaps the aforementioned (Fig. findings imply that the abundance of ROS accumulation in the **OX28** root abolished/dwarfed its growth while the less ROS accumulation in the *dreb1a* root enhanced its growth (Fig. 3B, D). To test this possibility, the

activities of several antioxidant enzymes were measured. The drebla plant possessed activities significantly higher SOD, in peroxidase, polyphenol oxidase while significantly lower activity in catalase than WT-Col0 (Fig. 4A-C, F). There were no enzyme activity differences in extracellular peroxidase and ascorbic peroxidase (Fig. 4D-E) between the two examined plant lines. On the other hand, the OX28 plant possessed a higher extracellular peroxidase activity. The null mutation and overexpression of DREB1A in the dreb1a plant and OX28 plant possessed a significantly higher activity of peroxidases however only the latter had a higher activity of extracellular peroxidases (Fig. 4B, D).



**Fig. 3.** Root growth and  $H_2O_2$  accumulation analysis in *dreb1a* and OX-28 plants: A) Representative *dreb1a* and OX-28 plants alongside their control wild types 20 days after planting on the MS medium, Bar=1 cm; B) Comparison of root length 20 days after planting on the MS medium; C) NBT staining (indicating  $H_2O_2$  production sites) of root tips in *dreb1a* and OX-28 plants alongside their control wild types 20 days after planting on the MS medium; D) Comparison of  $H_2O_2$  accumulation at the root tips 20 days after planting on the MS medium; Bars indicate standard deviations of replicates determined by one-way ANOVA (P < 0.05), confirmed by *t*-test at *P*<0.05 (\*), *P*<0.01 (\*\*) or *P*<0.001 (\*\*\*).



**Fig. 4.** Antioxidant enzymes activity in *dreb1a* and OX-28 plants, grown on the MS medium under standard growth conditions; Bars indicate standard deviations of replicates determined by one-way ANOVA (P < 0.05), confirmed by t-test at P<0.05 (\*), P<0.01 (\*\*) or P<0.001 (\*\*\*).

#### Discussion

### Different responses of the *dreb1a* plants to cold and salinity stresses

The role of DREB1 transcription factors in response to cold and freezing stresses has been confirmed by elevated levels of DREB1A expression (Gilmour et al., 2000; Jaglo-Ottosen et al., 1998), and/or by low levels of DREB1A expression (Novillo et al., 2004; Novillo et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2016). However, analysis of the null mutant(s) in DREB1A could be more informative to assess its precise role in stress Thus, a *dreb1a* mutant was responses. characterized and was verified as a null mutant of DREB1A for downstream studies. The dreb1a plants showed no significant difference from the WT-Col0 plants when subjected to cold stress, even when their COR15a expression was comparable (Fig. 2A). This suggests that in the absence of a functional DREB1A and in response to cold stress, the role of DREB1A can be fulfilled/compensated by other members of the DREB1 family, through the shared downstream gene(s) such as COR15a. This understanding that DREB1 proteins could be redundant in the regulation of several COR genes including COR15a has been confirmed by other researchers (Park et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2016) and DREB1A-independent pathways for plant responses to cold stress were proposed. Fowler and Thomashow (2002) report a DREB1Aindependent pathway which regulates the expression of at least 28 percent of the cold responding genes. DREB1 genes have prominent roles in plant cold acclimation (Cook et al., 2004; Novillo et al., 2007). In the absence of DREB1 genes (e.g., triple cbf mutants), DREB1independent pathways could support plant for a proper response to cold stress (Zhao et al., 2016).

Despite no response to cold stress, the *dreb1a* mutants have a strong response to salinity stress leading to lower germination and seedling survival rates. This contrasts to the responses of *dreb1c* (*i.e.*, mutation in *DREB1C*) plants to freezing and salinity; *dreb1c* mutants have a higher tolerance (Novillo *et al.*, 2004). The contrasting phenotypes of *dreb1a* and *dreb1c* may arise from the negative regulatory role of

DREB1C on DREB1A and DREB1B (Novillo et al., 2004). Elevated levels of DREB1A expression in dreb1c plants resulted in salinity tolerance, while DREB1A null mutation in dreb1a plants (this study) resulted in sensitivity to salinity. Thus, it is possible to conclude that a functional DREB1A is necessary for A. thaliana plants to respond to salinity stress.

Based on the literature (Agarwal et al., 2006; Huang and Liu 2006; Kasuga et al., 1999; Kim et al., 2008; Kohan-Baghkheirati and Bagherieh-Najjar 2011) and this study (Fig. 2), DREB1A could play a crucial role in a complex regulatory network of various abiotic stress responses; but its precise function depends on the stress type and plant developmental stage. In addition, DREB1A can perform an indirect inverse role in response to biotic stresses. For example, the expression level of DREB1A was decreased in the *ice1* mutant plants, in which SA-dependent genes were up-regulated (Chinnusamy et al., 2003; Rivas-San Vicente and Plasencia 2011). This suggested that a functional DREB1A gene may suppress SA-dependent plant responses to biotic stresses. A comprehensive transcriptomic comparison of drebla and DREBIA overexpressing plants under various environmental stresses (abiotic and biotic) can reveal the exact roles of DREB1A.

### Effect of *DREB1A* on root growth

The *DREB1A* over-expressing plants are dwarf with shorter roots and shoots when grown in the normal condition but they grow better in roots (in terms of root length) under salinity or drought stress (Bhatnagar-Mathur et al., 2007; Cong et al., 2008; Sarkar et al., 2016; Vadez et al., 2012). Similar to the previous studies (Cong et al., 2008; Gilmour et al., 2000), OX28 plants were observed to have dwarf phenotypes, i.e., with shorter root length, in the normal condition. On the other hand, root lengths of *cbf* triple mutants and cbf2 mutants (i.e., dreb1c) were not significantly different from that of wild type plants in the normal condition (Novillo et al., 2004; Zhao et al., 2016). In this study, we showed that the root length of the *dreb1a* plants was significantly longer than that of the WT-Col0 plants; this suggests an inhibitory role of DREB1A in root growth in the normal

conditions. Phenotypes of the *dreb1a* roots were different from those of *cbf* mutant roots (Novillo et al., 2004; Zhao et al., 2016); these differences may depend on DREB1C (CBF2) mutation in those *cbf* mutant lines. *DREB1A* and *DREB1B* perform different functions due to their different expression patterns and possess different effects on different subsets of downstream genes from DREB1C does (Novillo et al., 2007). The growth of the A. thaliana root follows two stages - first determinate growth with 17-cell proliferation as root primordium and then indeterminate growth after primordia reactivation by forming root apical meristem (Seeley et al., 2000). The inhibitory role of DREB1A in root growth is proposed at the second stage, based on two models. The first model is that DREB1A might act on the cell wall to strengthen and increase cellular osmolarity in the elongation zone of roots. It was evidenced by up-regulation genes and metabolites (such as CORs, Proline, and soluble sugars) by overexpression of DREB1A, which led to the accumulation of sugars and increase of cellular osmolarity (Gilmour et al., 2000). Recently, both sugar metabolism and cell wall modification genes were reported to be regulated by DREB1s transcription factors; these indicate loosening and extension of cell wall might be affected by DREB1s expression levels. Increase of cellular osmolarity and strengthening of cell walls helps efflux of water from the cells and cold stress responses (Afzal et al., 2016; Bilska-Kos et al., 2016); thus, the combination of these three processes (i.e., cellular osmolarity, cell wall strengthen, and efflux of water) inhibits rapid cell wall loosening and extension and prevents root growth in the root elongation zone. It is known that the accumulation of ROS in the root tips can induce rapid loosening of the cell wall (Dunand et al., 2007; Liszkay et al., 2004). Our study showed two-fold accumulation of ROS at the root tips of the OX28 plant but a 30% reduction of ROS at the root tips of the drebla plant. It is also known that the increase of ROS at the root tip leads to an increase in the root length by fostering a discontinuity of the cell wall polysaccharides (Dunand et al., 2007; Liszkay et al., 2004). Rapid loosening of the cell wall can be triggered by ROS accumulation in the root tips (Dunand et al., 2007; Liszkay et al.,

2004). This processes of wall loosening is promoted by extracellular peroxidases through an oxidative cleavage by the hydroxyl radical (•OH) (Daudi *et al.*, 2012; Kelij *et al.*, 2015). Likewise, the accumulation of ROS in the OX28 roots could help cleave cell wall polysaccharides.

The second model is that the negative effects of DREB1A on root growth might be mediated by ethylene. Recently, one of the ethylene signaling transcription factors (Ethylene Insensitive 3, EIN3) was found to bind the promoter of DREB1A and to down-regulate DREB1A expression during cold acclimation (Shi et al., 2012). The pattern of *EIN3* expression was reverse to that of DREB1A expression under cold stress. During the first three hours of cold treatment, accumulation of DREB1A transcripts was high while that of EIN3 was low. After six hours of cold treatment, EIN3 transcripts accumulated but DREB1A transcripts dropped. This suggests that accumulation of the DREB1A transcripts is controlled by both cold and ethylene but in an antagonistic manner (Shi et al., 2015; Shi et al., 2012). Thus, accumulation of the DREB1A transcripts in the OX28 roots may trigger accumulation of ethylene and in turn, it may inhibit cell wall elongation at the root tips. In agreement with this scenario, it is predicted that the slow growth rate of roots in the OX28 line can be complemented by silver nanoparticles treatment which inhibits ethylene production and signaling (Kumar et al., 2009). In the future, a detailed study of the ein3/dreb1a double mutant under various stress conditions may provide new insights on how DREB1A affects root tip growth.

# *DREB1A* expression affects antioxidant enzyme activity

Most of biochemical/physiological changes in altered *DREB1A* gene expression were in sugar metabolism and osmoprotectants (e.g., proline) (Cong *et al.*, 2008; Gilmour *et al.*, 2000; Maruyama *et al.*, 2009; Zhao *et al.*, 2016). Plant responses to abiotic stresses, oxidative response through ROS production and scavenging have been recently investigated in *DREB1A* overexpressing plants (Jia *et al.*, 2016; Rai *et al.*, 2013; Wan *et al.*, 2014). In this study, differential accumulation of ROS at the root tips of the drebla and OX28 plants suggests a potential link between DREB1A expression levels and ROS regulation. ROS levels in response to stresses are controlled precisely by a complex regulatory network; this network includes enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidant defense systems (Ghorbannejad and Amooaghaie 2017; Gill and Tuteja 2010; Jaspers and Kangasjärvi 2010). Our result showed that the enzymatic activity of most members of antioxidants defense systems were affected by altered expression (i.e., either null mutation or overexpression) of the DREB1A gene. In both drebla and OX28 plants, peroxidase and superoxide dismutase showed a significant increase (to reduce ROS). This is in agreement with previous reports that activities of peroxidase and superoxide dismutase showed significant increase in DREB1A overexpressing plants and improved plant resistance to abiotic stresses (Jia et al., 2016; Li. X. et al., 2011; Rai et al., 2013; Wan et al., 2014). Polyphenol oxidase is involved in oxygen chemistry and oxidizing phenolic compounds (Mayer 2006; Vaughn and Duke 1984); but its activity increased only in the drebla plants. Extracellular peroxidase contributes to superoxide (O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>) production for cell wall extension (Mika et al., 2004); and its activity increased only in the OX28 plants.

Elevated levels of polyphenol oxidase activity, by oxidizing some cell wall compounds, may result in in the phenotype of longer roots in the dreb1a plants while elevated levels of the extracellular peroxidase activity, by producing superoxide for cell wall extension, may result in the phenotype of shorter roots in the OX28 plants. However, transcriptomic analysis of DREB1s over-expressing plants (Park et al., 2015) and/or DREB1s mutant plants (Zhao et al., 2016) didn't revealed enrichment of antioxidant enzyme-coding genes. Despite the changes of antioxidant enzyme activities in altered DREBIA expression plants (OX28 and drebla) and the transcriptomic studies, the molecular mechanisms of antioxidant enzymes are yet to be understood (Jia et al., 2016; Li. X. et al., 2011; Rai et al., 2013; Wan et al., 2014). In sum, there is an indirect, but important, link between DREB1A expression levels and ROS

generating/scavenging systems in modulating root elongation enzymatic activities of the *dreb1a* and OX28 plants. This link regulates tolerance of *A. thaliana* against abiotic stresses.

### Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Professor M. F. Thomashow (University of Michigan, USA) for kindly providing the *DREB1A* overexpressing line (OX28).

### References

- Afzal Z, Howton T, Sun Y, Mukhtar MS. 2016. The roles of aquaporins in plant stress responses. *J Dev Biol* 4: 9.
- Agarwal PK, Agarwal P, Reddy M, Sopory SK. 2006. Role of DREB transcription factors in abiotic and biotic stress tolerance in plants. *Plant Cell Rep.* 25: 1263-1274.
- Bartoli CG, Casalongué CA, Simontacchi M, Marquez-Garcia B, Foyer CH. 2013. Interactions between hormone and redox signalling pathways in the control of growth and cross-tolerance to stress. *Environ Exp Bot* 94: 73-88.
- Beauchamp C, Fridovich I. 1971. Superoxide dismutase: improved assays and an assay applicable to acrylamide gels. *Anal Biochem* 44: 276-287.
- Bhatnagar-Mathur P, Devi MJ, Reddy DS, Lavanya M, Vadez V, Serraj R, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Sharma KK. 2007. Stressinducible expression of *AtDREB1A* in transgenic peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) increases transpiration efficiency under water-limiting conditions. *Plant Cell Rep* 26: 2071-2082.
- Bilska-Kos A, Szczepanik J, Sowiński P. 2016. Cold induced changes in the water balance affect immunocytolocalization pattern of one of the aquaporins in the vascular system in the leaves of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *J Plant Physiol* 205: 75-79.
- Boyes DC, Zayed AM, Ascenzi R, McCaskill AJ, Hoffman NE, Davis KR, and Gorlach J. 2001. Growth stage-based phenotypic analysis of Arabidopsis a model for high throughput functional genomics in plants. *Plant Cell* 13:1499-1510.

- Chinnusamy V, Ohta M, Kanrar S, Lee B-h, Hong X, Agarwal M, Zhu J-K. 2003. ICE1: a regulator of cold-induced transcriptome and freezing tolerance in Arabidopsis. *Gene Dev* 17: 1043-1054.
- Cong L, Zheng H-C, Zhang Y-X, Chai T-Y. 2008. Arabidopsis *DREB1A* confers high salinity tolerance and regulates the expression of GA dioxygenases in Tobacco. *Plant Sci* 174: 156-164.
- Cook D, Fowler S, Fiehn O, Thomashow MF. 2004. A prominent role for the CBF cold response pathway in configuring the lowtemperature metabolome of Arabidopsis. *Proc Nat Acad Sci* 101: 15243-15248.
- Daudi A, Cheng Z, O'Brien JA, Mammarella N, Khan S, Ausubel FM, Bolwell GP. 2012. The apoplastic oxidative burst peroxidase in Arabidopsis is a major component of patterntriggered immunity. *The Plant Cell* 24: 275-287.
- Dunand C, Crèvecoeur M, Penel C. 2007. Distribution of superoxide and hydrogen peroxide in Arabidopsis root and their influence on root development: possible interaction with peroxidases. *New Phytol* 174: 332-341.
- Fowler S, Thomashow MF. 2002. Arabidopsis transcriptome profiling indicates that multiple regulatory pathways are activated during cold acclimation in addition to the CBF cold response pathway. *The Plant Cell* 14: 1675-1690.
- Ghorbannejad H, Amooaghaie R. 2017. Differential changes of proline content and activities of antioxidant enzymes results in varied salt-tolerance in canola genotypes. J Genet Resour 3: 36-46.
- Gill SS, Tuteja N. 2010. Reactive oxygen species and antioxidant machinery in abiotic stress tolerance in crop plants. *Plant Physiol Biochem* 48: 909-930.
- Gilmour SJ, Sebolt AM, Salazar MP, Everard JD, Thomashow MF. 2000. Overexpression of the Arabidopsis CBF3Transcriptional Activator Mimics Multiple Biochemical Changes Associated with Cold Acclimation. *Plant Physiol* 124: 1854-1865.
- Hoagland DR, Arnon DI. 1950. The waterculture method for growing plants without

soil. Circular California Agri Experi Stat 347.

- Huang B, Liu JY. 2006. A cotton dehydration responsive element binding protein functions as a transcriptional repressor of DREmediated gene expression. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 343: 1023-1031.
- Jaglo-Ottosen KR, Gilmour SJ, Zarka DG, Schabenberger O, Thomashow MF. 1998. Arabidopsis CBF1 overexpression induces COR genes and enhances freezing tolerance. *Science* 280: 104-106.
- Jaspers P, Kangasjärvi J. 2010. Reactive oxygen species in abiotic stress signaling. *Physiol Plant* 138: 405-413.
- Jia X, Li Y, Qi E, Ma S, Hu X, Wen G, Wang Y, Li J, Zhang X, Wang H. 2016. Overexpression of the Arabidopsis *DREB1A*. *Russ J Plant Physiol* 63: 523-531.
- Kar M, Mishra D. 1976. Catalase, peroxidase, and polyphenoloxidase activities during rice leaf senescence. *Plant Physiol* 57: 315-319.
- Kasajima I, Ide Y, Ohkama-Ohtsu N, Hayashi H, Yoneyama T, Fujiwara T. 2004. A protocol for rapid DNA extraction from *Arabidopsis thaliana* for PCR analysis. *Plant Mol Bio Rep* 22: 49-52.
- Kasuga M, Liu Q, Miura S, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K. 1999. Improving plant drought, salt, and freezing tolerance by gene transfer of a single stress-inducible transcription factor. *Nature Biotech* 17: 287-291.
- Kazan K. 2015. Diverse roles of jasmonates and ethylene in abiotic stress tolerance. *Trends Plant Sci* 20: 219-229.
- Kelij S, Majd A, Nematzade G, Jonoubi P. 2015. Activation of lignin biosynthetic enzymes during internodal development of *Aeluropus littoralis* exposed to NaCl. *J Genet Resour* 1: 19-24.
- Kim YH, Yang KS, Ryu SH, Kim KY, Song WK, Kwon SY, Lee HS, Bang JW, Kwak SS. 2008. Molecular characterization of a cDNA encoding DRE-binding transcription factor from dehydration-treated fibrous roots of sweet potato. *Plant Physiol Biochem* 46: 196-204.
- Kohan-Baghkheirati E, Bagherieh-Najjar MB. 2011. DRE-binding Transcription factor (DREB1A) as a master regulator induced a

broad range of abiotic stress tolerance in plant. *Afr J Biotechnol* 10: 15100-15108.

- Kumar V, Parvatam G, Ravishankar GA. 2009. AgNO<sub>3</sub>: a potential regulator of ethylene activity and plant growth modulator. *Electron J Biotechn* 12: 8-9.
- Li. X., Cheng X, Liu. J., Zeng H, Han. L., Tang W. 2011. Heterologous expression of the Arabidopsis DREB1A/CBF3 gene enhances drought and freezing tolerance in transgenic *Lolium perenne* plants. *Plant Biotechnol Rep* 5: 61-69.
- Liszkay A, van der Zalm E, Schopfer P. 2004. Production of reactive oxygen intermediates (O<sub>2</sub>-, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, and OH) by maize roots and their role in wall loosening and elongation growth. *Plant Physiol* 136: 3114-3123.
- Liu JX, Srivastava R, Che P, Howell SH. 2007. Salt stress responses in Arabidopsis utilize a signal transduction pathway related to endoplasmic reticulum stress signaling. *Plant* J 51: 897-909.
- Liu Q, Kasuga M, Sakuma Y, Abe H, Miura H, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K. 1998. Two transcription factors, DREB1 and DREB2, with an EREBP/AP2 DNA binding domain separate two cellular signal transduction pathways in drought- and lowtemperature-responsive gene expression, respectively, in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 10: 1391–1406.
- Malamy J. 2005. Intrinsic and environmental response pathways that regulate root system architecture. *Plant Cell Environ* 28: 67-77.
- Maruyama K, Takeda M, Kidokoro S, Yamada K, Sakuma Y, Urano K, Fujita M, Yoshiwara K, Matsukura S, Morishita Y. 2009. Metabolic pathways involved in cold acclimation identified by integrated analysis of metabolites and transcripts regulated by DREB1A and DREB2A. *Plant Physiol* 150: 1972-1980.
- Mayer AM. 2006. Polyphenol oxidases in plants and fungi: going places? A review. *Phytochemistry* 67: 2318-2331.
- Mellersh DG, Foulds IV, Higgins VJ, Heath MC. 2002.  $H_2O_2$  plays different roles in determining penetration failure in three diverse plant-fungal interactions. *Plant J* 29: 257-268.

- Mika A, Minibayeva F, Beckett R, Lüthje S. 2004. Possible functions of extracellular peroxidases in stress-induced generation and detoxification of active oxygen species. *Phytochem Rev* 3: 173-193.
- Mittler R. 2002. Oxidative stress, antioxidants and stress tolerance. *Trends Plant Sci* 7: 1360-1385.
- Murashige T, Skoog F. 1962. A revised medium for rapid growth and bio assays with tobacco tissue cultures. *Physiol Plant* 15: 473-497.
- Novillo F, Alonso JM, Ecker JR, Salinas J. 2004. CBF2/DREB1C is a negative regulator of CBF1/DREB1B and CBF3/DREB1A expression and plays a central role in stress tolerance in Arabidopsis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 101: 3985-3990.
- Novillo F, Medina J, Salinas J. 2007. Arabidopsis CBF1 and CBF3 have a different function than CBF2 in cold acclimation and define different gene classes in the CBF regulon. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 104: 21002-21007.
- Park S, Lee CM, Doherty CJ, Gilmour SJ, Kim Y, Thomashow MF. 2015. Regulation of the Arabidopsis CBF regulon by a complex lowtemperature regulatory network. *Plant J* 82: 193-207.
- Rai GK, Rai NP, Rathaur S, Kumar S, Singh M. rd29A:: 2013. Expression of AtDREB1A/CBF3 in tomato alleviates drought-induced oxidative stress bv regulating key enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants. Plant Physiol Biochem 69: 90-100.
- Rivas-San Vicente M, Plasencia J. 2011. Salicylic acid beyond defence: its role in plant growth and development. *J Exp Bot* 62: 3321-3338.
- Rueden CT, Schindelin J, Hiner MC, DeZonia
  BE, Walter AE, Arena ET, Eliceiri KW.
  2016. ImageJ: Image Analysis
  Interoperability for the Next Generation of
  Biological Image Data. *BMC Bioinformatics*22: 2066-2067.
- Saffar A, Bagherieh-Najjar MB, Mianabadi M. 2009. Activity of antioxidant enzymes in response to cadmium in Arabidopsis thaliana. *J Biol Sci* 9: 44-50.

- Sarkar T, Thankappan R, Kumar A, Mishra GP, Dobaria JR. 2016. Stress Inducible Expression of *AtDREB1A* Transcription Factor in Transgenic Peanut (Arachis hypogaea L.) Conferred Tolerance to Soil-Moisture Deficit Stress. *Front Plant Sci* 7: 935.
- Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *Nat Methods* 9: 671-675.
- Seeley KA, Cheng JC, and Sung ZR. 2000. The two-stage theory of root development in Arabidopsis.https://www.arabidopsis.org/wee dsworld/Vol2ii/seeley.html.
- Seki M, Narusaka M, Ishida J, Nanjo T, Fujita M, Oono Y, Kamiya A, Nakajima M, Enju A, Sakurai T *et al.* 2002. Monitoring the expression profiles of 7000 Arabidopsis genes under drought, cold and high-salinity stresses using a full-length cDNA microarray. *Plant J* 31: 279-292.
- Shi Y, Ding Y, Yang S. 2015. Cold signal transduction and its interplay with phytohormones during cold acclimation. *Plant Cell Physiol* 56: 7-15.
- Shi Y, Tian S, Hou L, Huang X, Zhang X, Guo H, Yang S. 2012. Ethylene signaling negatively regulates freezing tolerance by repressing expression of CBF and type-A ARR genes in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 24: 2578-2595.
- Suo H, Ma Q, Ye K, Yang C, Tang Y, Hao J, Zhang ZJ, Chen M, Feng Y, Nian H. 2012. Overexpression of *AtDREB1A* causes a severe dwarf phenotype by decreasing

endogenous gibberellin levels in soybean [Glycine max (L.) Merr.]. *PloS One* 7: e45568.

- Tonkinson C, Lyndon R, Arnold G, Lenton J. 1997. The effects of temperature and the Rht3 dwarfing gene on growth, cell extension, and gibberellin content and responsiveness in the wheat leaf. *J Exp Bot* 48: 963-970.
- Vadez V, Rao JS, Bhatnagar-Mathur P, Sharma K. 2012. *DREB1A* promotes root development in deep soil layers and increases water extraction under water stress in groundnut. *Plant Biol* 15: 45-52.
- Vaughn KC, Duke SO. 1984. Function of polyphenol oxidase in higher plants. *Physiol Plant* 60: 106-112.
- Wan F, Pan Y, Li J, Chen X, Pan Y, Wang Y, Tian S, Zhang X. 2014. Heterologous expression of Arabidopsis C-repeat binding factor 3 (AtCBF3) and cold-regulated 15A (AtCOR15A) enhanced chilling tolerance in transgenic eggplant (Solanum melongena L.). *Plant Cell Rep* 33: 1951-1961.
- Yamaguchi-shinozaki K, Shinozaki k. 1994. A novel cis-acting element in an Arabidopsis gene is involved in responsiveness to drought, low-temperature, or high-salt stress. *Plant Cell.* 6: 251-264.
- Zhao C, Zhang Z, Xie S, Si T, Li Y, Zhu J-K. 2016. Mutational Evidence for the Critical Role of CBF Genes in Cold Acclimation in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol* 171: 2744-2759.