

Evaluation of Long Non-Coding RNAs: HNF1A-AS1 and MVIH Expressions and Their Clinical Significance in Human Gastric Cancer

Hasan Rahimi-Tamandegani¹, Parvaneh Nikpour^{2,3} and Modjtaba Emadi-Baygi^{1,4*}

¹ Department of Genetics, Faculty of Basic Sciences, Shahrekord University, Shahrekord, Iran

² Department of Genetics and Molecular Biology, Faculty of Medicine, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

³ Child Growth and Development Research Center, Research Institute for Primordial Prevention of Non-Communicable Disease, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

⁴ Research Institute of Biotechnology, Shahrekord University, Shahrekord, Iran

ARTICLEINFO	A B S T R A C T
Article history: Received 22 December 2019 Accepted 25 January 2019 Available online 07 February 2020	Gastric cancer is one of the most common cancers in the world. Late diagnosis is the main cause of the high rate of treatment failure and death among patients with gastric cancer; therefore identifying the molecular basis of cancer initiation and metastasis is so critical for developing efficient methods for early diagnosis and therapy. long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are the largest group of noncoding RNAs, which are involved in cancer pathogenesis. Regarding the
Keywords: Gastric cancer Gene expression HNF1A-AS1 IncRNA MVIH	roles of <i>lncRNA-HNF1A-AS1</i> and <i>lncRNA-MVIH</i> in cancer pathogenesis and progression, we aimed to evaluate expression profiles and clinicopathological relevance of these two genes in human gastric cancer. Real-time PCR was performed to assess relative gene expressions in 60 tumoral and non-tumoral gastric tissues. The association between gene expressions and clinicopathological characteristics were also analyzed. Expression and clinicopathological data of the <i>lncRNA-HNF1A-AS1</i> from 318 gastric cancer
* <i>Corresponding author:</i> ⊠ M. Emadi-Baygi emadi-m@sku.ac.ir	patients were also downloaded from the TCGA database. <i>HNF1A-AS1</i> was down-regulated in GC tissues and <i>MVIH</i> did not show any significant differential expression in GC tissues. Otherwise, the expression of <i>lncRNA-</i> <i>HNF1A-AS1</i> was significantly higher in TCGA tumor samples. Furthermore, <i>lncRNA-HNF1A-AS1</i> expression was associated with tumor metastasis and that of <i>lncRNA-MVIH</i> showed association with tumor grade and stage. <i>lncRNA-</i> <i>HNF1A-AS1</i> expression status did not show any significant correlation with GC overall survival. In conclusion, <i>lncRNA-HNF1A-S1</i> and <i>lncRNA-MVIH</i> genes
p-ISSN 2423-4257 e-ISSN 2588-2589	may play a critical role in gastric cancer progression. Functional studies on the mechanism of action of these two lncRNAs could help to understand their role in gastric cancer progression.

Please cite this paper as: Rahimi-Tamandegani H, Nikpour P, Emadi-Baygi M. 2020. Evaluation of long non-coding RNAs: HNF1A-ASI and MVIH expressions and their clinical significance in human gastric cancer. J Genet Resour 6(1): 60-68. doi: 10.22080/jgr.2020.18350.1173

Introduction

Gastric cancer is one of the most common cancers in the world and the second leading cause of cancer death in the world (Danaei et al., 2005). Gastric cancer is also the most common gastrointestinal cancer in Iran. Its incidence is dependent on the geographic area. The northern regions of Iran have the highest rate of incidence (Malekzadeh et al., 2009; Radmard, 2010). Frequently, gastric cancer is diagnosed at an advanced stage of cancer, and with metastasis resulted in reduced 5-year survival rates of patients. Diagnosis of gastric cancer before metastasis to the muscular layer can be enhanced 5-year survival rate up to 90%; therefore this is important to understand molecular mechanisms that are involved in the gastric cancer progression and metastasis (Correa, 2013).

Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are the largest group of non-coding RNAs, which are larger than 200 nucleotides in length (Brosnan and Voinnet, 2009). IncRNAs can regulate gene expression at different levels and with different mechanisms (Wang and Chang, 2011). These molecules are engaged in various biological processes and misregulated in various pathological processes like cancer initiation and metastasis (Mathieu et al., 2014; Fang and Fullwood, 2016). The long non-coding RNA HNF1A-AS1 is a lncRNA with 2.4 kb length which is located in chromosome 12q24.31. This gene is transcribed as antisense of the HNF1A gene and controls cell cycle by regulating Ecadherin, cyclin D1, N-cadherin, and β-catenin expressions. HNF1A-AS1 can induce epithelialmesenchymal transition (EMT) and metastasis by down-regulating E-cadherin through binding to DNMT1 (Liu et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2014; Wu et al., 2015). MVIH is a lncRNA that is located in an intron of the ribosomal protein S24 gene. MVIH is misregulated in some cancers. It enhances metastasis by inhibition of PGK1 secretion and regulating MMP2 and MMP9 expressions (Shi et al., 2015; Yuan et al., 2012; Lei et al., 2016; Nie et al., 2014; He et al., 2014). Due to dysregulation of *lncRNA-HNF1A*-AS1 and IncRNA-MVIH expressions in different cancers, in the current study, we evaluated the expression profile of these two genes in human gastric cancer specimens as well as their correlation with clinicopathological parameters. Furthermore, we analyzed gene expression and characteristics clinicopathological of the IncRNA-HNF1A-AS1 gene in gastric cancer from the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database.

Materials and Methods

Subjects

Thirty paired gastric tissue samples (tumoral and non-tumoral) were obtained from Iran Tumoral Bank (Tehran, Iran) and examined for gene expression (Emadi-Baygi *et al.*, 2015; Nikpour *et al.*, 2014). The experimental design was certified by the Committee of Shahrekord

University. Before participation, the patients' written informed consent was obtained by Iran Tumoral Bank. The data set from an independent cohort in the TCGA database (http://cancergenome.nih.gov) was utilized for the evaluation of the *lncRNA-HNF1A-AS1* expression and its clinicopathological relevance. The lncRNA Reads Per Kilobases per Million reads (RPKM) expression value in the TCGA database was downloaded through The Atlas of Noncoding RNAs in Cancer (TANRIC) which contains 285 gastric cancer tissues and 33 nontumoral tissues. Clinical information about these 318 patients was also downloaded from the TCGA database (Li et al., 2015; Weinstein et al., 2013). Furthermore, TCGA survival data were retrieved from OncoLnc (Anaya, 2016).

Total RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis

Total RNA from tumoral and adjacent nontumoral tissue specimens was isolated with Reagent **TRIzol®** (Invitrogen, Carlsbad. California, United States) as explained in the manufacturer's protocol. One percent agarose gel electrophoresis was used to determine RNA quality. Nanodrop instrument (Nanolvtik. Düsseldorf, Germany) was used for determining RNA concentration by measuring optical density at 260 nm. For the elimination of genomic DNA, DNase treatment was carried out by using the DNase set (Fermentas Co. Lithuania). cDNA synthesis was performed using random primers and an M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Fermentas Co. Lithuania).

Gene expression analyses

The relative expression of *lncRNA-HNF1A-AS1* and *lncRNA-MVIH* genes was determined by quantitative real-time RT-PCR in comparison to *GUSB* (β -Glucuronidase) as a reference gene (Baygi *et al.*, 2010). The primers for target genes (Table 1) were designed with GeneRunner software, version 4.0.9.66 Beta, and specificity of the primers was verified by the BLAST tools (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi).

Table 1. Sequences of primers.								
Gene name	Accession number	Amplicon size (bp)	Primer sequence $(5' \rightarrow 3')$					
HNF1A-AS1	ENSG00000241388	134	GATGCTGTTCCTTCTACCTG					
			CAGTGGTGCTCAAATATGG					
MVIH	NCBI no.: AK094613	162	GCCAGCAGAACTACTCACTAAG					
			AAAGGCTGGATGAAGAGAAC					

Real-time PCR was carried out with SYBR *Premix Ex Taq* (Tli RNase H Plus) (Takara, Otsu, Shiga, Japan) and run on the Rotor-gene 6000 (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The conditions of the PCR for target genes included of the earliest denaturation at 95 °C for 2 minutes, 40 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 15 seconds, annealing at 56 °C for *lncRNA-HNF1A-AS1* and 57°C for *MVIH* genes for 35 seconds and annealing at 60°C for *GUSB* gene for 60 seconds, then extension at 72 °C for 35 seconds for *lncRNA-HNF1A-AS1* and *MVIH* genes and 15 seconds for *GUSB* gene.

Statistical analyses

The duplicate reaction was done for each gene. The Δ Ct method was used to quantify the relative levels of gene expression (Nourbakhsh *et al.*, 2018) and the result was statistically analyzed by the t-test and chi-square. The SPSS software, version 21.0 and Prism (GraphPad, San Diego, CA) was utilized for statistical analyses and variations with p < 0.05 were considered as statistically significant.

Results

Expression of *HNF1A-AS1* and *MVIH*

qRT-PCR was performed to determine the expression levels of IncRNA-HNF1A-AS1 and IncRNA-MVIH genes in human gastric tissues. Relative expression of target genes was determined using the Δ Ct method by subtracting its Ct value to that of the GUSB gene. The results of real-time qRT-PCR showed that lncRNA-HNF1A-AS1 expression was significantly downregulated in cancerous tissues compared to the adjacent non-cancerous tissues (Fig. 1a, p=0.04). Subsequently, we surveyed the expression levels of IncRNA-HNF1A-AS1 in the TCGA stomach cancer (STAD) cohort and found that IncRNA-HNF1A-AS1 was significantly overexpressed in gastric tumoral tissues compared to normal tissues (Fig.1b, p=0.0002). Statistical analysis of relative expression levels of the MVIH gene failed to show any significant difference between cancerous and non-cancerous gastric tissue samples (data not shown, p=0.34).

lncRNA-HNF1A-AS1 and *lncRNA-MVIH* expressions and clinicopathological characters

To determine the clinical significance of *MVIH* and *HNF1A-AS1*, we analyzed the relationship

between their expression and clinicopathological features of the patients with GC.



Fig. 1. Expression profile of *HNF1A-AS1* in gastric tumoral and adjacent non-tumoral samples of our study group and TCGA cohort. *HNF1A-AS1* expression was significantly lower in tumoral samples compared to adjacent non-tumoral ones both in our patients (A) In TCGA cohort; Tumoral samples showed significantly higher *HNF1A-AS1* expression levels (B); Data are expressed as means±SEM. The asterisk indicates a statistically significant value.

We categorized MVIH and HNF1A-AS1 expression as low and high based on median ΔCt value and investigated the association between their expressions and clinicopathological features of patients. The result of the statistical analysis pointed out that HNF1A-AS1 lower expression was associated with a higher metastasis level (Table 2, p=0.03). Besides, analysis of HNF1A-AS1 median gene expression data in the TCGA cohort showed a significant negative association with Μ classification (Table 3, p=0.024). Moreover, the result of the statistical analysis indicated that MVIH expression was associated with TNM stage (p=0.04) and tumor grade (p=0.02) (Table 4). Later, in cancerous samples (30 GC tissues), the Spearman correlation analysis showed HNF1A-AS1 positively expression was correlated with MVIH expression levels (p<0.0001, ρ=0.722).

Correlation of *lncRNA-HNF1A-AS1* expression levels with overall survival in the TCGA cohort

We further assessed the prognostic significance of *lncRNA-HNF1A-AS1* by analyzing the correlation between its expression and overall survival across all cancer types in the TCGA database (according to the p-values from the univariate Cox proportional hazards model and log-rank test and visualization through a Kaplan-Meier plot). Lower expression of *lncRNA-HNF1A-AS1* was significantly associated with worse prognosis in patients with Pancreatic adenocarcinoma (PAAD) (p=0.019). However, there was no significant correlation between these lncRNA expression levels and the survival status of other cancer types including gastric cancer patients (Fig. 2, p=0.97).



Fig. 2. Disease-free survival of gastric cancer patients based on *HNF1A-AS1* expression levels in the TCGA cohort.

Table 2.	Correlation	between	HNF1A-AS1	expression	and	clinicopathological	parameters	of	gastric	cancer
patients.										

	Characteristics	Numbers	Higher expression	Lower expression	<i>p</i> -value
Sex	Male	16	7	9	0.35
	Female	14	8	6	
Age (years)	≥ 70	16	6	10	0.136
	<70	14	9	5	
Depth of invasion	T2	1	0	1	0.5
-	T3-T4	29	15	14	
N classification	N0-NX	6	2	4	0.3
	N1	12	7	5	
	N2-N3	12	6	6	
M classification	MX	6	1	5	0.03**
	M0	18	12	6	
	M1	12	2	4	
TNM stage	I-II	16	9	7	0.341
-	III	8	4	4	
	IV	6	2	4	
Tumor grades	Ι	10	3	7	0.111
-	II	8	4	4	
	III	12	8	4	
Tumor types	Diffuse	13	5	8	0.241
	Intestinal	17	15	7	
Perineural invasion	Yes	24	12	12	0.5
	No	6	3	3	
Lymphatic invasion	Yes	18	8	10	0.35
-	No	12	7	5	
Tumor size (cm)	≥5	8	5	3	0.341
	<5	22	10	12	

** Statistically significant

	Characteristics	Numbers (#285)	Higher expression (#142)	Lower expression (#143)	p value
Sex	Male	174	92	82	0.1974
	Female	111	50	61	
Age (years)	≥ 70	113	60	53	0.3042
	<70	167	81	86	
	NA	5	1	4	
Depth of invasion	T1	13	9	4	0.1111
	T2	72	33	39	
	T3	113	63	50	
	T4	78	35	43	
	TX	9	2	7	
N classification	N0	94	50	44	0.6692
	N1	78	34	44	
	N2	47	25	22	
	N3	53	28	25	
	NX	13	5	8	
M classification	M0	252	124	128	0.0240**
	M1	18	6	12	
	MX	15	12	3	
TNM stage	Ι	40	20	20	0.0649
	II	99	53	46	
	III	101	55	46	
	IV	25	6	19	
	NA	20	8	12	
Tumor grades	G1-GX	12	6	6	0.4877
	G2	91	50	41	
	G3	182	86	96	
Tumor types	Diffuse	51	23	30	0.1687
	Intestinal	95	56	39	
	Mixed	136	62	72	
	NA	3	1	2	

Table 3. Correlation between *HNF1A-AS1* expression and clinicopathological parameters of gastric cancer patients of the TCGA cohort based on median gene expression level.

** Statistically significant

Table 4. C	Correlation	between	MVIH ex	pression	and clinico	pathological	parameters of	gastric cancer	patients.

	Characteristics	Numbers	Higher expression	Lower expression	<i>p</i> -value
Sex	Male	16	8	8	0.5
	Female	14	7	7	
Age (years)	≥ 70	16	7	9	0.35
2 0 /		14	8	6	
Depth of invasion	T2	1	0	1	0.5
I	T3-T4	29	15	14	
N classification	N0 -NX	6	1	5	0.059
	N1	12	6	6	
	N2-N3	12	8	4	
M classification	МХ	6	3	3	0.074
	M0	18	11	7	
	M1	6	1	5	
TNM stage	I-II	16	8	8	0.041**
U	III	8	6	2	
	IV	6	1	5	
Tumor grades	Ι	10	2	8	0.021**
·	II	8	6	2	
	III	12	7	5	
Tumor types	Diffuse	13	9	4	0.069
	Intestinal	17	6	11	
Perineural invasion	Yes	24	12	12	0.5
	No	6	3	3	
Lymphatic invasion	Yes	18	9	9	0.5
	No	12	6	6	
Tumor size (cm)	≥5	8	5	3	0.34
	<5	22	10	12	

** Statistically significant

Discussion

In this study, we evaluated *lncRNA-HNF1A-AS1* and *lncRNA-MVIH* genes expression in cancerous and adjacent non-cancerous gastric tissue specimens by real-time qRT-PCR. We also analyzed the expression level of the IncRNA-HNF1A-AS1 and its association with clinicopathological characteristics in an independent large TCGA cohort. Our results showed that the expression of HNF1A-AS1 in cancerous tissues is lower than that in adjacent non-cancerous tissues and the difference was statistically significant. However, we found that *lncRNA-HNF1A-AS1* was significantly upregulated in GC tissues compared with nontumoral ones in TCGA patients. We further revealed that HNF1A-AS1 expression inversely associated with distant metastasis in both our samples and TCGA patients. TCGA survival data analysis for this lncRNA showed that patients with pancreatic adenocarcinomas have a worse prognosis (lower overall survival time) if they are categorized in the HNF1A-AS1 low expression group.

Recently, Dang et al reported that HNF1A-AS1 expression is down-regulated in gastric cancer. Dang et al also reported that HNF1A-AS1 expression is related to tumor size, venous invasion, lymphatic metastasis, perineural invasion, and invasion depth (Dang et al., 2015). Our results are concordant with the mentioned report favoring the potential tumor-suppressive role of HNF1A-AS1 in GC progression. However, Liu. et al. showed an upregulation in HNF1A-AS1 expression in gastric cancer samples in which it is associated with growth and metastasis (Liu et al., 2018). The plausible differences in the measurement of expression of this gene in different cancers and different samples of certain cancer can be caused by high heterogeneity among cancers, which makes heterogeneity in the expression profile of different samples of certain cancer and even different parts of a tumor.

In 2014, Yang *et al.* reported that *HNF1A-AS1* is upregulated in esophageal adenocarcinomas (Yang *et al.*, 2014). Analysis of *HNF1A-AS1* expression in lung adenocarcinoma by Wu et al revealed that this gene is up-regulated in lung adenocarcinoma compared with corresponding non-tumoral tissues. Furthermore, they revealed that HNF1A-AS1 expression is related to clinicopathological features of patients including tumor size, TNM stage, and lymph node metastasis (Wu et al., 2015). Moreover, Liu et al HNF1A-AS1 expression analyzed in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and reported that its expression is upregulated in cancerous tissues compared to noncancerous tissues. They further reported that HNF1A-AS1 expression is associated with TNM stage and tumor size (Liu et al., 2016). Considering the expression of HNF1A-AS1 in different cancer types shows that expression of this gene in different cancers follow a different pattern while up-regulated in some cancers (lung, HCC and esophageal) and maybe act as an oncogene, it is downregulated in some others (gastric, pancreatic) and maybe act as a tumor suppressor (Müller et al., 2015). Furthermore, studies in different cancers have generally shown that HNF1A-AS1 regulates different oncogenes including BCI-2, Notch, Wnt/β-Catenin, Sox-4, and CDK-6 and tumor suppressors such as p21 and p53 via sponging different miRNAs resulting in increased growth and metastasis in various cancers (Liu et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2014; Wu et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2018; Fang et al., 2017; Zhan et al., 2017; Guo et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2017). However, this difference(s) in expression pattern and plausibly function of HNF1A-AS1 could be explained by this point that lncRNAs usually are expressed tissue-specifically and act in the different pathway(s) in different tissue(s) with different expression profiles (Gibb et al., 2011).

Survival data analysis in lung adenocarcinoma patients studied by Wu *et al.*, (2015) revealed that patients with higher *HNF1A-AS1* expression levels have lower survival rates. This is the only report on this gene that assessed the survival data. TCGA data analysis showed that except for pancreatic cancer, there is no statistically significant association between *HNF1A-AS1* expression levels and overall survival. Finally, we found an apparent discrepancy between our results and the TCGA results, regarding the expression of *HNF1A-AS1*. In our own data analysis, we noticed that the distribution of patients with different tumor types (intestinal vs. diffuse) was different according to *HNF1A-AS1*

expression. Patients with diffuse gastric cancer type had been categorized more in a class of higher HNF1A-AS1 expression (although not statistically significant). This trend was also evident according to the comparison of HNF1A-AS1 mean expression levels between intestinal and diffuse samples. The decreased expression of E-cadherin has been mainly observed in diffuse-type (Chan, 2006). We speculate that the reduction of E-cadherin expression through binding of HNF1A-AS1 to DNMT1 may result in metastasis, so it is more plausible to find its overexpression in diffuse-type gastric cancer similar to the trend we observed in this study. Furthermore, the real-time qRT-PCR is the gold standard and the sequencing data (from TCGA) should be verified by real-time qRT-PCR (Costa et al., 2013).

Besides, we analyzed the expression of MVIH in 30 paired tissue samples of cancerous and adjacent non-cancerous gastric tissue by realtime qRT-PCR. Our results demonstrated that there was no significant difference in MVIH expression between tumoral and non-tumoral tissues. We also revealed that MVIH expression levels were associated with TNM stage and tumor grades. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report on examining the expression of MVIH in gastric cancer. However, expression of this gene was analyzed by Yuan et al and Shi et al in HCC and they reported that this gene is upregulated in cancerous tissues compared with adjacent non-cancerous ones. Moreover, they showed that MVIH's expression is associated with clinicopathological features such as microvascular invasion and TNM stage (Shi et al., 2015; Yuan et al., 2012). Analysis of MVIH expression in breast cancer tissues by Lei et al showed that MVIH is up-regulated in tumoral tissues compared to non-tumoral tissues (Lei et al., 2016). Nie et al analyzed MVIH expression in non-small cell lung adenocarcinoma. They reported that MVIH is up-regulated significantly in cancerous tissues compared with adjacent noncancerous ones. Furthermore, they reported expression is related that **MVIH** clinicopathological features such as tumor stage, and lymph node metastasis (Nie et al., 2014).

Finally, the correlation between *MVIH* and *HNF1A-AS1* expressions in our cohort showed that *HNF1A-AS1* expression was positively

correlated with *MVIH* expression. We hypothesize that due to the structural relationship of *HNF1A-AS1* and DNMT1 and the recognized role of DNMT1 in gene expression, it may be speculated that *HNF1A-AS1* has a role in regulating the expression of *MVIH* (Wu *et al.*, 2015; Chen and Li, 2006).

In conclusion, we showed that HNF1A-AS1 was under-expressed in gastric cancerous tissues. We further revealed that HNF1A-AS1 expression was inversely associated with distant metastasis. demonstrated Moreover. we that **MVIH** expression levels were associated with TNM stage and tumor grades. Although our results show co-expression of the MVIH and HNF1A-AS1 expressions in cancerous tissues, further studies should be conducted to clarify and shed light on the mechanism of action(s) of these IncRNAs in gastric cancer initiation and progression.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank Zohreh Baratieh and Mohammad Amin Honardoost for their help in experiments and TCGA data analysis.

Funding

This study was funded in part by a research grant from Shahrekord University, Shahrekord, Iran.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Anaya J. 2016. Oncolnc: linking TCGA survival data to mRNAs, miRNAs, and lncRNAs. *Peer J Computer Science* 4: e1780v1 doi: 10.7287/peerj.preprints.1780v1.
- Baygi ME, Soheili ZS, Schmitz I, Sameie S, Schulz WA. 2010. Snail regulates cell survival and inhibits cellular senescence in human metastatic prostate cancer cell lines. *Cell Biol Toxicol* 26(6):553-567.
- Brosnan CA, Voinnet O. 2009. The long and the short of noncoding RNAs. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 21 (3): 416-425.
- Chan AO. 2006. E-cadherin in gastric cancer. World journal of gastroenterology. *World J Gastroenterol* 12 (2): 199-203.

- Chen T, Li E. 2006. Establishment and maintenance of DNA methylation patterns in mammals. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol* 301: 179-201.
- Correa P. Gastric cancer: overview. 2013. Gastroenterol Clin North Am 42 (2): 211-217.
- Costa C, Giménez-Capitán A, Karachaliou N, Rosell R. 2013. Comprehensive molecular screening: from the RT-PCR to the RNA-seq. *Transl Lung Cancer Res* 2 (2): 87-91.
- Danaei G, Vander Hoorn S, Lopez AD, Murray CJ, Ezzati M, group CRAc. 2005. Causes of cancer in the world: comparative risk assessment of nine behavioral and environmental risk factors. *Lancet* 366 (9499): 1784-1793.
- Dang Y, Lan F, Ouyang X, Wang K, Lin Y, Yu Y, Wang L, Wang Y, Huang Q. 2015. Expression and clinical significance of long non-coding RNA HNF1A-AS1 in human gastric cancer. *World J Surg Oncol* 13: 302. doi: 10.1186/s12957-015-0706-3.
- Emadi-Baygi M, Nikpour P, Emadi-Andani E. 2015. SIX1 overexpression in diffuse-type and grade III gastric tumors: Features that are associated with poor prognosis. *Adv Biomed Res* 4: 139. doi: 10.4103/2277-9175.161540.
- Fang C, Qiu S, Sun F, Li W, Wang Z, Yue B, Wu X, Yan D. 2017. Long non-coding RNA HNF1A-AS1 mediated repression of miR-34a/SIRT1/p53 feedback loop promotes the metastatic progression of colon cancer by functioning as a competing endogenous RNA. *Cancer lett* 410: 50-62.
- Fang Y, Fullwood MJ. 2016. Roles, functions, and mechanisms of long non-coding RNAs in cancer. *Genom Proteom Bioinform* 14 (1): 42-54.
- Gibb EA, Vucic EA, Enfield KS, Stewart GL, Lonergan KM, Kennett JY, Becker-Santos DD, MacAulay CE, Lam S, Brown CJ. 2011. Human cancer long non-coding RNA transcriptomes. *PloS One* 6 (10): e25915.
- Guo X, Zhang Y, Liu L, Yang W, Zhang Q. 2020. HNF1A-AS1 regulates cell migration, invasion and glycolysis via modulating miR-124/MYO6 in colorectal cancer cells. Onco Targets Ther 13: 1507-1518.
- He Y, Meng X-M, Huang C, Wu B-M, Zhang L, Lv X-W, Li J. 2014. Long noncoding RNAs:

Novel insights into hepatocelluar carcinoma. *Cancer lett* 344 (1): 20-27.

- Lei B, Xu SP, Liang XS, Li YW, Zhang JF, Zhang GQ, Pang D. 2016. Long non-coding RNA MVIH is associated with poor prognosis and malignant biological behavior in breast cancer. *Tumor Biol* 37 (4): 5257-5264.
- Li J, Han L, Roebuck P, Diao L, Liu L, Yuan Y, Weinstein JN, Liang H. 2015. TANRIC: an interactive open platform to explore the function of lncRNAs in cancer. *Cancer res* 75 (18): 3728-3737.
- Liu H-T, Liu S, Liu L, Ma R-R, Gao P. 2018. EGR1-mediated transcription of lncRNA-HNF1A-AS1 promotes cell-cycle progression in gastric cancer. *Cancer Res* 78 (20): 5877-5890.
- Liu L, Chen Y, Li Q, Duan P. 2019. lncRNA HNF1A-AS1 modulates non–small cell lung cancer progression by targeting miR-149-5p/Cdk6. *J Cell Biochem* 120 (11): 18736-18750.
- Liu Z, Li H, Fan S, Lin H, Lian W. 2019. STAT3-induced upregulation of long noncoding RNA HNF1A-AS1 promotes the progression of oral squamous cell carcinoma via activating Notch signaling pathway. *Cancer Biol Ther* 20 (4): 444-453.
- Liu Z, Wei X, Zhang A, Li C, Bai J, Dong J. 2016. Long non-coding RNA HNF1A-AS1 functioned as an oncogene and autophagy promoter in hepatocellular carcinoma through sponging hsa-miR-30b-5p. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 473 (4): 1268-1275.
- Malekzadeh R, Derakhshan MH, Malekzadeh Z. 2009. Gastric cancer in Iran: epidemiology and risk factors. *Arch Iran Med* 12 (6): 576-583.
- Mathieu E-L, Belhocine M, Dao L, Puthier D, Spicuglia S. 2014. Functions of lncRNA in development and diseases. *Med Sci (Paris)* 30 (8-9): 790-796.
- Müller S, Raulefs S, Bruns P, Afonso-Grunz F, Plötner A, Thermann R, Jäger C, Schlitter AM, Kong B, Regel I. 2015. Next-generation sequencing reveals novel differentially regulated mRNAs, lncRNAs, miRNAs, sdRNAs and a piRNA in pancreatic cancer. *Mol Cancer* 14: 94. doi: 10.1186/s12943-015-0358-5.

- Nie F-q, Zhu Q, Xu T-p, Zou Y-f, Xie M, Sun M, Xia R, Lu K-h. 2014. Long non-coding RNA MVIH indicates a poor prognosis for non-small cell lung cancer and promotes cell proliferation and invasion. *Tumor Biol* 35 (8): 7587-7594.
- Nikpour P, Emadi-Baygi M, Emadi-Andani E, Rahmati S. 2014. EYA1 Expression in gastric carcinoma and its association with clinicopathological characteristics: a pilot study. *Med Oncol* 31 (5): 955. doi: 10.1007/s12032-014-0955-y.
- Nourbakhsh N, Emadi-Baygi M, Salehi R, Nikpour P. 2018. Gene expression analysis of two epithelial-mesenchymal transition-related genes: Long noncoding RNA-ATB and SETD8 in gastric cancer tissues. *Adv Biomed Res* 7: 42. doi: 10.4103/abr.abr-252-16.
- Radmard AR. 2010. Five common cancers in Iran. Arch Iran Med 13 (2): 143-146.
- Shi Y, Song Q, Yu S, Hu D, Zhuang X. 2015. Microvascular invasion in hepatocellular carcinoma overexpression promotes cell proliferation and inhibits cell apoptosis of hepatocellular carcinoma via inhibiting miR-199a expression. *Onco Targets Ther* 8: 2303-2310.
- Wang C, Mou L, Chai H-X, Wang F, Yin Y-Z, Zhang X-Y. 2017. Long non-coding RNA HNF1A-AS1 promotes hepatocellular carcinoma cell proliferation by repressing NKD1 and P21 expression. *Biomed Pharmacother* 89: 926-932.
- Wang G, Zhao W, Gao X, Zhang D, Li Y, Zhang Y, Li W. 2017. HNF1A-AS1 promotes growth and metastasis of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma by sponging miR-214 to upregulate the expression of SOX-4. *Int J Oncol* 51 (2): 657-667.

- Wang KC, Chang HY. 2011. Molecular mechanisms of long noncoding RNAs. *Mol Cell* 43 (6): 904-914.
- Weinstein JN, Collisson EA, Mills GB, Shaw KRM, Ozenberger BA, Ellrott K, Shmulevich I, Sander C, Stuart JM, 2013. The cancer genome atlas pan-cancer analysis project. *Nat Genet* 45 (10): 1113-1120.
- Wu Y, Liu H, Shi X, Yao Y, Yang W, Song Y. 2015. The long non-coding RNA HNF1A-AS1 regulates proliferation and metastasis in lung adenocarcinoma. *Oncotarget* 6 (11): 9160-9172.
- Yang X, Song JH, Cheng Y, Wu W, Bhagat T, Yu Y, Abraham JM, Ibrahim S, Ravich W, Roland BC. 2014. Long non-coding RNA HNF1A-AS1 regulates proliferation and migration in oesophageal adenocarcinoma cells. *Gut* 63 (6): 881-890
- Yuan SX, Yang F, Yang Y, Tao QF, Zhang J, Huang G, Yang Y, Wang RY, Yang S, Huo XS. 2012. Long noncoding RNA associated with microvascular invasion in hepatocellular carcinoma promotes angiogenesis and serves as a predictor for hepatocellular carcinoma patients' poor recurrence-free survival after hepatectomy. *Hepatology* 56 (6): 2231-2241.
- Zhan Y, Li Y, Guan B, Wang Z, Peng D, Chen Z, He A, He S, Gong Y, Li X. 2017. Long non-coding RNA HNF1A-AS1 promotes proliferation and suppresses apoptosis of bladder cancer cells through upregulating Bcl-2. *Oncotarget* 8 (44): 76656-76665.
- Zhang G, An X, Zhao H, Zhang Q, Zhao H. 2018. Long non-coding RNA HNF1A-AS1 promotes cell proliferation and invasion via regulating miR-17-5p in non-small cell lung cancer. *Biomed Pharmacother* 98: 594-599.